

ForwardDallas Comprehensive Land Use Plan

FORWARDALLAS 2.0


CITY COUNCIL DRAFT
SEPTEMBER 2024

Draft Version: Staff CLUP CPC EDC



DRAFT ANNOTATION LEGEND

NEWLY ADDED PAGE



= Newly added page / spread since last revision. When shown, all or most content including text and graphics are newly added.
Note: Most or all text on pages with this asterisk will not be shown as **blue or yellow**.

NEWLY ADDED TEXT

Blue Lorem Ipsum = Newly added text since last revision. Most updated text will be **blue**, but in the limited instances where background color of page makes it difficult to read, text will appear **yellow** for legibility

DELETED TEXT

Red Lorem Ipsum = Deleted Text. Most deleted text will be **red**, but in the limited instances where background color of page makes it difficult to read, text will appear **green** for legibility

SECTION REVISIONS

- ◆ Indicator to show what was recently changed and who suggested the change. Each marker corresponds to the Section Revisions section at the end of each chapter.

* = Spread to be removed for final plan

ORDINANCE

Page Intentionally Left Blank
for Ordinance

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Page Intentionally Left Blank
for Final Draft
Acknowledgments
Mayor / City Manager

Page Intentionally Left Blank
for Final Draft
Acknowledgments Letter(s)



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ELECTED + APPOINTED OFFICIALS	City Plan Commission	Comprehensive Land Use Plan Committee (CLUP)	Technical Review Committee	Technical Review Committee (continued)	Urban Design Peer Review Panel (UDPRP)	Planning & Development Department	CONSULTANT TEAM
Eric Johnson, Mayor	Christian Chernock - District 1	Brent Rubin, Chair (CPC)	Office of Cultural Affairs	Dallas Public Works Department	Eurico Francisco	Houseal Lavigne & Associates	
City Council	Joanna Hampton - District 2	Deborah Carpenter, Vice Chair (CPC)	Dallas Department of Aviation	Real Estate Division of the City of Dallas	Normal Alston	Norris Design Group	
Chad West - District 1	Darrell C. Herbert - District 3	Peter Goldstein	City Attorney's Office	Dallas Department of Transportation	Faisal Syed	Toole Design Group	K-Strategies
Jesse Moreno - District 2	Thomas Forsyth - District 4	Roy Lopez	City of Dallas Bond Program Administration	Dallas Water Utilities	Mark Meyer		
Zarin D. Gracey - District 3	Tony Shidid - Chair, - District 5	Jennifer Scripps	Dallas Code Compliance Services	Dallas Area Rapid Transit	Maria Gomez	Andrea Udrean - Deputy Director	
Carolyn King Arnold - District 4	Deborah Carpenter - District 6	Nathaniel Barrett	City of Dallas Office of Budget	James Frye	Jessie Marshall Zarazaga	Andrea Udrean - Deputy Director	
Jaime Resendez - District 5	Tabitha Wheeler Reagan - District 7	Joe Cannon	Dallas Building Services Department	Kate Aoki	Kate Aoki	Arturo Del Castillo - Assistant Director	
Omar Narvaez - District 6	Lorie Blair - District 8	Jerry Hawkins	Dallas Convention & Visitors Bureau	Mikel Wilkins	James Frye	Greater Dallas Planning Council (GDPC)	
Adam Bazaldua, DMPT - District 7	Neal Sleeper - District 9	Krista Nightengale	Department of Data Analytics and Business Intelligence		Lawrence Agu, III - Chief Planner, Project Manager		
Tennell Atkins, MPT - District 8	Tipton Housewright - District 10	Linda McMahon	Dallas Housing Authority		Patrick Blaydes - Chief Planner		
Paula Blackmon - District 9	Matthew Eppler - District 11	Matt Houston	Richardson ISD		Brian Price - Senior Planner		
Kathy Stewart - District 10	Aaliyah Haqq - District 12	Collin Yarbrough	Duncanville ISD		Ted J. Helm II - Senior Planner		
Jayne Schultz - District 11	Larry Hall - District 13	Maureen Milligan	Dallas ISD		Asma Shaikh - Senior Planner		
Cara Mendelsohn - District 12	Melissa Kingston - District 14	Jasmond Anderson (CPC)*	Dallas College		Chalonda Mangwi - Johnson - Engagement Manager		
Gay Donnell Willis - District 13	Brent Rubin - Vice Chair, District 15	Dustin Bullard*	Downtown Dallas Inc.		Don Raines Jr. - Senior Planner		
Paul E. Ridley - District 14		Jennifer Range*	University of Texas at Dallas		Lindsay Jackson - Senior Planner		
		Lynette Aguilar*	Southern Methodist University		Gray Parker - Senior Planner		
		Robert Kent*			Damire Powell - Senior Planner		
		Maggie Parker*			Sef Okoth - Chief Planner		
		Maria Gomez*					

* Members who participated on committee or board no longer members at time of adoption

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS (CONT.)

ELECTED + APPOINTED OFFICIALS	City Plan Commission	Comprehensive Land Use Plan Committee (CLUP)	Technical Review Committee	Technical Review Committee (continued)	Urban Design Peer Review Panel (UDPRP)	Planning & Development Department	CONSULTANT TEAM
Eric Johnson, Mayor	Christian Chernock - District 1	Brent Rubin, Chair (CPC)	Office of Cultural Affairs	Dallas Public Works Department	Eurico Francisco	Houseal Lavigne & Associates	
City Council	Joanna Hampton - District 2	Deborah Carpenter, Vice Chair (CPC)	Dallas Department of Aviation	Real Estate Division of the City of Dallas	Normal Alston	Norris Design Group	
Chad West - District 1	Darrell C. Herbert - District 3	Peter Goldstein	City Attorney's Office	Dallas Department of Transportation	Faisal Syed	Toole Design Group	K-Strategies
Jesse Moreno - District 2	Thomas Forsyth - District 4	Roy Lopez	City of Dallas Bond Program Administration	Dallas Water Utilities	Mark Meyer		
Zarin D. Gracey - District 3	Tony Shidid - Chair, - District 5	Jennifer Scripps	Dallas Code Compliance Services	Dallas Area Rapid Transit	Maria Gomez	Andrea Udrean - Deputy Director	
Carolyn King Arnold - District 4	Deborah Carpenter - District 6	Nathaniel Barrett	City of Dallas Office of Budget	James Frye	Jessie Marshall Zarazaga	Andrea Udrean - Deputy Director	
Jaime Resendez - District 5	Tabitha Wheeler Reagan - District 7	Joe Cannon	Dallas Building Services Department	Kate Aoki	Kate Aoki	Arturo Del Castillo - Assistant Director	
Omar Narvaez - District 6	Lorie Blair - District 8	Jerry Hawkins	Dallas Convention & Visitors Bureau	Mikel Wilkins	James Frye	Greater Dallas Planning Council (GDPC)	
Adam Bazaldua, DMPT - District 7	Neal Sleeper - District 9	Krista Nightengale	Department of Data Analytics and Business Intelligence		Lawrence Agu, III - Chief Planner, Project Manager		
Tennell Atkins, MPT - District 8	Tipton Housewright - District 10	Linda McMahon	Dallas Housing Authority		Patrick Blaydes - Chief Planner		
Paula Blackmon - District 9	Matthew Eppler - District 11	Matt Houston	Richardson ISD		Brian Price - Senior Planner		
Kathy Stewart - District 10	Aaliyah Haqq - District 12	Collin Yarbrough	Duncanville ISD		Ted J. Helm II - Senior Planner		
Jayne Schultz - District 11	Larry Hall - District 13	Maureen Milligan	Dallas College		Asma Shaikh - Senior Planner		
Cara Mendelsohn - District 12	Melissa Kingston - District 14	Jasmond Anderson (CPC)*	Downtown Dallas Inc.		Chalonda Mangwi - Johnson - Engagement Manager		
Gay Donnell Willis - District 13	Brent Rubin - Vice Chair, District 15	Dustin Bullard*	University of Texas at Dallas		Don Raines Jr. - Senior Planner		
Paul E. Ridley - District 14		Jennifer Range*	Southern Methodist University		Lindsay Jackson - Senior Planner		
		Lynette Aguilar*			Gray Parker - Senior Planner		
		Robert Kent*			Damire Powell - Senior Planner		
		Maggie Parker*			Sef Okoth - Chief Planner		
		Maria Gomez*					



Land Use Acknowledgment

City of Dallas' Planning and Development Department acknowledges the traditional territory of North Texas occupied by multiple American Indian groups because of the Trinity River which provided seasonal homes and trading exchanges. Most notably, it was inhabited by the Caddo, Wichita, and nomadic tribes such as the Comanche and Kiowa, and ancestral tribes including the Arkikosa, Atakapa, Karankawa, Tawakoni, and others. We recognize the American Indian peoples as original stewards of this land and all the relatives within it. The acknowledgment is a small gesture to a larger commitment to showing respect through ongoing awareness and action.

ALL.ASONE.




TABLE OF CONTENTS


Land Use Plan				CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	CHAPTER 2: LAND USE THEMES	CHAPTER 3: PLACETYPES	CHAPTER 4: IMPLEMENTATION PLAN			GLOSSARY + ACRONYMS
				1-1	2-1	3-1	4-1			APPENDIX C ENGAGEMENT REPORT
Appendices					APPENDIX A MAPS	APPENDIX B EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT	APPENDIX C ENGAGEMENT REPORT	APPENDIX D PUBLIC INPUT REPORT	APPENDIX E FINANCIAL ANALYSIS	APPENDIX F POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
					A-1	B-1	C-1	D-1	E-1	F-1



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

WORKING DRAFT
PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

FORWARD DALLAS




HISTORY & ENGAGEMENT

Prior to looking forward, we must recognize that historically, in communities across the nation, land use and zoning has been used to exclude and segregate people of color. This has played a role in the creation and perpetuation of racial, economic and health inequities. ForwardDallas cannot resolve the historical impact of inequitable land use and zoning issues overnight, or by itself, but the City is committed to applying an equity lens to how we plan and engage today and into the future.

- 1500+ IN-PERSON ATTENDEES
- 25000+ WEBMAP VISITS
- 1600+ MAP COMMENTS
- 1000+ SURVEY RESPONSES
- 10000+ ONLINE USERS
- 200+ IN-PERSON EVENTS
- 70+ VIRTUAL EVENTS

VISION & THEMES



- INITIAL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT
- PROJECT INITIATION & EXISTING CONDITIONS
- LAND-USE VISIONING
- LAND USE THEME DEVELOPMENT
- DRAFT PLAN REVIEW
- PUBLIC BRIEFINGS/HEARINGS + ADOPTION

TIMELINE

es-ii

EDC (Council) Draft #6 September 2024

EDC (Council) Draft #6 September 2024

es-iii

2023

LAND USE THEME DEVELOPMENT

2024



DRAFT PLAN REVIEW

PUBLIC BRIEFINGS/HEARINGS + ADOPTION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

WORKING DRAFT
PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

FORWARD DALLAS



A placetype represents a holistic, larger scale vision for a community or place that incorporates a desired mix of land uses, design, and density.

- NEIGHBORHOOD MIXED-USE
- COMMUNITY MIXED-USE
- REGIONAL MIXED-USE
- CITY CENTER
- LOGISTICS/INDUSTRIAL PARK
- INSTITUTIONAL CAMPUS
- PUBLIC UTILITY
- REGIONAL OPEN SPACE
- SMALL TOWN RESIDENTIAL
- COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL
- CITY RESIDENTIAL
- FLEX COMMERCIAL
- INDUSTRIAL HUB
- AIRPORT

WORKING DRAFT PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

WORKING DRAFT
PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

FORWARD DALLAS

LAND USE THEMES



Theme Goal: Actively and equitably protect communities from the effects of environmental hazards, while enhancing environmental quality through proactive protection, conservation, and sustainable practices in both natural and built environments.



Theme Goal: Advance safe, compact, and walkable mixed-use development around DART stations and other transportation nodes to further increase accessible connectivity to housing, job opportunities, and neighborhood amenities for all residents.



Theme Goal: Equitably increase attainable housing options throughout the city, particularly near job centers and transit-oriented locations, to meet the diverse housing needs of all people in Dallas.



Theme Goal: Promote equitable development of Dallas' diverse communities across the city, through the revitalization of neighborhood centers, commercial corridors, employment centers, and transit areas.



Theme Goal: Adopt and implement context-sensitive design and development guidance to help shape Dallas' streets, sidewalks, buildings, and open spaces, ensuring functional, safe, sustainable, and vibrant spaces that not only reflect but also enhance Dallas' distinct places and diverse communities.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Objective A: Create and support citywide Environmental Justice (EJ) goals	Objective B: Mitigate negative environmental impacts from new development	Objective C: Support the environmental protection of key natural resources
Objective A: Encourage more housing, employment, services and amenities around transit stations	Objective B: Align transportation planning, land use planning, and development processes	Objective C: Promote a multimodal transportation network that is highly accessible and well-connected
Objective A: <i>Provide</i> Encourage a mix of housing types and affordabilities across all neighborhoods to meet diverse needs	Objective B: Prioritize housing investments for the most vulnerable populations, especially the unhoused and those at high risk of displacement	Objective C: Align land use policy and process with housing strategies, plans, and programs
Objective A: Implement "transformative placemaking" strategies to revitalize commercial corridors, transit nodes, and employment centers	Objective B: Prioritize equitable growth by targeting investment in underserved communities	Objective C: Foster economically resilient communities that are regionally connected and locally supported
Objective A: Establish a citywide urban design framework	Objective B: Integrate urban design standards and guidance into the development review process and future planning efforts	Objective D: Remove land use and zoning barriers that hinder small business development



SECTION REVISIONS

[01] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Housing Choice, Objective 1, revise to 'Encourage a mix of housing types and affordabilities to meet diverse needs.' **Page:** es-vii

[02] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Add New Objective: Objective D: Protect and Preserve Existing Neighborhoods (*This objective includes- 'Identify stable areas and put strategies in place that direct incompatible growth away from these areas of stability and into areas where development can be a force for healthy change.'*) **Page:** es-vii

CONTENTS

Overview

Purpose

History + Background

Process + Timeline

Community Values

How to Use the Plan



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION



OVERVIEW

ForwardDallas 2.0 is the citywide visionary plan that establishes guidelines for how public and private land should be used and what the city should look like. Land use and urban design have significant impacts on most aspects of daily life, such as job opportunities, commute times, air quality, and access to recreation and green space.

This section provides a general summary of what the plan is, what it isn't, and its importance for the city.

OVERVIEW



FOREWORD

Many established neighborhoods, ranging from those that consist of exclusively single-family detached residences to those with a diverse array of housing types, have experienced considerable changes since the first ForwardDallas plan was published in 2006, in large part due to market demands for housing significantly outstripping our housing supply. While some of this redevelopment has benefitted neighborhoods, in too many instances, it has led to existing residents being displaced from their longtime homes. On one hand, many Dallas neighborhoods have experienced significant displacement despite being zoned single-family. On the other hand, neighborhoods that allow different housing types have not been immune to displacement, either.

The most important considerations as the City moves forward in the conversation about adding new housing types are (1) meeting the housing needs of Dallas residents in the midst of a housing shortage and in light of projections that housing demand will continue to grow along with the City itself; and (2) ensuring that residents of established neighborhoods are not displaced.

Other considerations are also relevant when having discussions about adding new housing types for existing neighborhoods:

WHAT IS FORWARDDALLAS?

The ForwardDallas Comprehensive Land Use Plan Update, to be referenced as ForwardDallas 2.0, is a long-range, future land use vision that guides how and where the city grows over the coming decades and describes how to achieve that vision.

The plan is rooted in five overarching themes that serve as the foundation for the plan's goals, objectives, and actions steps.

Those themes are:

1. Environmental Justice and Sustainability
2. Transit-Oriented Development and Connectivity
3. Housing Choice and Access
4. Economic Development and Revitalization
5. Community and Urban Design

In contrast, certain considerations should not be given weight in any discussion about adding new types of housing. As history shows, land-use and zoning have been used as tools to segregate people. Unfortunately, some continue to voice those sentiments, particularly when it comes to excluding people of a lower socioeconomic status. Arguments based on these sentiments do not deserve any weight. Rather, Land use and zoning decisions should proceed from the fundamental tenet that all residents of Dallas are equal and can live and thrive as neighbors in all parts of the City.

WHY UPDATE THIS NOW?

The previous iteration of the land use plan was approved by the City Council in 2006. During the ensuing 18 years, the city of Dallas has undergone rapid and significant growth. By revising our land use plan, we aim to envision new ways of utilizing and designing spaces in Dallas that offer equitable access to resources, reinforce the strengths of our communities, and foster continued growth as a thriving city accessible to all.

WHAT THIS IS NOT


ForwardDallas 2.0 is not a regulatory document or a silver bullet for all public policy. It informs decisions about zoning and development, but does not constitute zoning nor change zoning. This plan and maps contained therein do not establish zoning district boundaries or regulations, nor do they guarantee that individual properties are suitable for the full range of land uses and design characteristics described within each placetype. Land use decisions on individual properties should consider not only the Future Placetype Map, but other factors such as other city policies, the context of the surrounding area, and other individual site considerations that cannot be evaluated as part of the high-level policy guidance of ForwardDallas 2.0.

This plan does not recommend a city initiated re-zoning, change has already come to countless established Dallas neighborhoods. Future policymaking should be sensitive to the fabric of neighborhoods, particularly those where long-term residents are at risk of displacement. Specifically, future discussions about different housing types and zoning considerations post ForwardDallas will require a separate public process that includes community engagement, City Plan Commission review and recommendation, and City Council adoption.

Furthermore, tearing down existing housing for replacement and incompatible infill is not encouraged by this plan, particularly in areas at risk of displacement.

ROLE OF A FUTURE LAND USE PLAN

ADVISORY



WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?

A comprehensive land use plan is a planning tool that outlines the vision for how land within a particular area should be used, developed, and managed over time. It can be important for several reasons:

- It can help to ensure that communities are developed to meet their needs and desired in areas, such as housing, parks, and other amenities.
- It can attract new businesses, industries, residential, and mixed-use development, and attract economic growth by providing a framework for development and zoning regulations.
- It can protect the environment and preserve natural resources by identifying areas that are sensitive to development and need protection.
- It can assist in infrastructure planning for transportation, water, and other services to support growth and development.
- It can provide a transparent and public process for planning and decision-making.

This section outlines the legal foundation of the plan, details its relationship to other policies, and provides guidance on how to use the plan.

PURPOSE



LEGAL BASIS

City of Dallas Charter:


"The council may adopt [...] a comprehensive plan setting forth [...] policies to govern the future physical development of the city. Such plan may cover the entire city and all of its functions and services or may consist of a combination of plans governing specific functions and services or specific geographic areas which together cover the entire city and all of its functions and services."

Chapter 51A Dallas Development Code:

"The purpose of [the] comprehensive plan is to promote sound development of the city and promote the public health, safety, and welfare. The comprehensive plan is a plan for the long-range development of the city. The comprehensive plan sets forth policies to govern the future physical development of the city. The comprehensive plan shall serve as a guide to all future city council action concerning land use and development regulations, urban conservation and rehabilitation programs, and expenditures for capital improvements."

Texas Local Government Code:

The Texas Local Government Code, Section 213.005, states that municipalities may have comprehensive plans. Section 211.004 provides that zoning regulations must be adopted in accordance with the comprehensive plan.




RELATIONSHIP TO ZONING

"The relationship between the comprehensive plan and development regulations is that the comprehensive plan serves merely as a guide for rezoning requests rather than as a mandatory restriction on the city's authority to regulate land use. The comprehensive plan shall not constitute zoning regulations or establish zoning district boundaries. The comprehensive plan does not limit the ability of the city to prepare other plans, policies, or strategies as required. (Ord. Nos. 26371; 28073).¹

RELATIONSHIP TO HISTORIC DISTRICTS, CONSERVATION DISTRICTS, NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION OVERLAYS, AND NEIGHBORHOOD-LED PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS

Historic Districts (HDs), Conservation Districts (CDs), Neighborhood Stabilization Overlays (NSOs) and other neighborhood-led efforts, including predominantly residential planned development districts (PDs) and sub-districts,² reflect thoughtful collaboration amongst stakeholders that allow neighborhoods to establish a more detailed vision of their community which ForwardDallas 2.0 does not change nor makes a recommendation to change. This plan does not preclude neighborhoods from creating such districts in the future.³



MONITORING PROGRESS

After adoption of this plan, it is recommended that the city formalize a process for reviewing and updating, if necessary, the entirety of its comprehensive land use plan every ten years. The city should also consider completing a mid-cycle report of the plan five years after its adoption date to evaluate progress and maintain relevancy to the community, appointed and elected officials, and City staff. Annual reports and briefings will be provided to the City Plan Commission (CPC), applicable committees and sub-committees, City Council, and the general public.

HOW TO AMEND THE PLAN?

RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PLANS (CONT.)

These plans may still provide more detailed background information about the areas than what can be incorporated into a high-level, city-wide policy document; therefore, plans adopted more than a decade prior to the adoption of this plan may still be used as background reference. In areas where the original plan conflicts with ForwardDallas 2.0, ForwardDallas 2.0 is the controlling land use policy. Plans adopted since the 2006 forwardDallas! plan are adopted into this Comprehensive Plan as components of the plan; however, policies in this plan supersede any policies in conflict with those in previously adopted plans.

1. Annual tracking by staff to assess and report progress from implementation efforts, newly adopted City policies, or from zoning requests resulting in changes to the future land use vision for an area.
2. Adoption and incorporation of smaller area plans, including neighborhood and corridor plans, into the citywide plan.

Future area, neighborhood, or corridor plans that are adopted after the adoption of ForwardDallas 2.0 will be incorporated as amendments to and components of ForwardDallas 2.0. They advance the citywide vision, while providing the opportunity to work on more fine-grained issues with the local community. The more localized plans keep ForwardDallas 2.0 current and dynamic over time and hold as much weight as ForwardDallas 2.0.

¹ Chapter 51A Dallas Development Code Sec. 51A-1.108. Comprehensive Plan



Prior to looking forward, we must recognize that historically, in communities across the nation, land use and zoning has been used to exclude and segregate people of color.

HISTORY

HISTORICAL PLANNING CONTEXT

Zoning is the regulatory tool used by municipalities to enforce land-use policies and decisions that determine the physical development of land based on its usage, purpose, and geological characteristics. In its earliest application, zoning in the United States was intended to protect white single-family neighborhoods from the encroachment of common nuisances and

Neighborhood and business associations who supported the 1926 Kessler Plan, such as the Kessler Plan Association, stated that "whites who have bought homes are entitled to protection from encroachment of Negroes moving into the neighborhood."¹ Much of post-World War II land use planning for residential areas has involved dedicating large land areas of Dallas solely for single-family, detached housing with pockets for large apartment complexes. This practice, coupled with underinvestment by banks and private developers in certain areas of the city, has contributed to limited housing options-- including types and price points-- and inadequate affordable housing. Zoning options have also not kept pace with evolving lifestyle and development trends, which have created barriers to developing different housing types and new, sensitively scaled, infill housing designs.

The current proximity of residential homes to industrial areas reflects the lasting effects of Dallas' historical cumulative zoning, especially noticeable in the southern and western parts of the city.

Addressing these challenges becomes more complex due to discrepancies between adopted land use plans aimed at resolving such issues and subsequent zoning changes that haven't consistently aligned with the envisioned future development, as well as legal nonconforming land uses that continue to operate even after zoning is changed. Zoning tools also frequently resulted from and into policies whose sole intent was to segregate land uses based on racial and socioeconomic demographics. Our nation has a troubled history of systematic practices and policies that have been used to enforce inequities. When Dallas adopted its first official zoning code in 1929, overtly or discreetly inequitable land use policies preceded its adoption and were codified into the zoning code. In 1921, Dallas adopted a city law which permitted neighborhoods to be legally closed to certain races.

The impetus for employing zoning in cities was oftentimes to segregate undesired uses such as polluting industry away from white residential areas. Industrial land uses were intentionally located away from affluent white neighborhoods. As a result, nearly half of the areas in the city designated as "Racially/Ethnic Concentrated Areas of Poverty" by the Federal Government have industrial uses in close proximity or in their midst.

The Dallas economy continues to diversify, with large growth in areas such as management, the arts, real estate, professional and technical services, and education services. The national decline in traditional retail and office occupancy rates presents challenges and opportunities for landuse and development throughout the city.

LEARN MORE IN THE EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT CLICK HERE

BACKGROUND

TRENDS

While emerging trends tell a story of population and economic growth in the city, significant equity challenges persist between certain population groups and geographic areas. Other important trends, such as the evolving economic use of space and shifting age demographics, point towards unique challenges and opportunities for future land use planning.

Dallas has experienced a 9% population increase in the last decade. While this is less than the growth rate of the Dallas-Fort Worth metroplex (43%) and Texas (26%) during this same period, the North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG) projected that the city's population will continue to grow over the next 20 years to 1.6 million by 2045, an increase of 300,000. Dallas has seen an overall growth in median household incomes since 2010, a forty-two percent (42%) increase from around \$41,000 to \$58,249 (2021; ACS 5-Yr). While this is a lower growth rate than other peer cities studied, Dallas' median home values have increased at a greater rate than both the DFW metro and the State, at 37.4%, up to \$303,444 (2021; ACS 5-Yr).

¹ 1 The Washington Post

Over the past two decades, age distributions within the city have shown significant change. While persons over 55-64 and over 65 populations have grown 36% between 2010 and 2020, the next largest age group (20 - 34) only grew by 12.5% during the last ten (10) years. As of 2022, the median age in Dallas was 33.1 years, younger than the Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington Metropolitan Statistical area with a median age of 35.3 years. These growing populations have different needs and lifestyles that will require careful thought about the provision of housing, leisure activities, services, and workforce preferences.

The Dallas economy continues to diversify, with large growth in areas such as management, the arts, real estate, professional and technical services, and education services. The national decline in traditional retail and office occupancy rates presents challenges and opportunities for landuse and development throughout the city.

WORKING DRAFT


PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

CITY OF DALLAS

CITY OF DALLAS

This section provides a summary of the steps taken to develop ForwardDalla 2.0.

PROCESS+ TIMELINE



PUBLIC BRIEFINGS / HEARINGS + ADOPTION

Public discussion and review of draft plan and future land use map.

DRAFT PLAN
REVIEW

Community, staff, and advisory review of future placetype map.

Meeting with the community to refine the placetypes into a commended future placetype map.

**PLACETYPE & URBAN
DESIGN MAP REVIEW**

Development of land
use themes based on
the community's vision.

LAND USE
VISIONING

Forming the vision of Dallas' future together with the community

INITIAL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Getting the community engagement efforts rolling with a series of workshops and an outline survey to identify priority issues.

PROJECT INITIATION & EXISTING CONDITIONS

Kicking off the planning process and establishing a solid technical and conceptual foundation of Dallas.

FALL 2023 - FALL 2024

MAR 2023 - FALL 2024

AUG 2022- MAY 2023

NOV 2022 - APR 2023

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY


CITY OF DALLAS

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

CITY OF DALLAS

This section highlights the engagement process of the plan since its launch.



COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

1500+ IN-PERSON ATTENDEES 1000+ ONLINE USERS

25000+ WEBMAP VISITS 1600+ MAP COMMENTS 1000+ SURVEY RESPONSES 200+ EVENTS

The ForwardDallas engagement process started with an emphasis on consistent messaging and reaching residents “where there are.” Relaying the message to diverse audiences served as the basis for developing specific engagement strategies and tools to extend the reach and convenience of the plan’s message to under-represented communities.

Public feedback has been summarized into key land use themes, shaping forthcoming action steps and policies. The word-cloud and topic bubbles (**Figure 1**) are scaled to represent the frequency of open-ended responses received for each theme. Each subtopic within the themes is distinctively color-coded for easy reference.

Mapping participant attendance and demographic data helps ensure that engagement efforts are equitably distributed throughout the city. The map in **Figure 2** depicts event and engagement activity conducted throughout the course of the project.

Legend

- Advisory
- Capacity Building/Listening Session/
- Focus Group
- Open house
- Popup/Table
- Workshop

LEARN MORE IN
THE ENGAGEMENT
REPORT

[CLICK HERE](#)





Figure 1: ForwardDallas Topics Word Cloud

The plan draws its priorities and action steps from inclusive and extensive community input, vital in shaping the City of Dallas’ direction. Since its launch in September 2021, Dallas residents and stakeholders have actively participated through various ways, including in-person workshops, pop-up events, virtual discussions, and online/paper surveys.



EDC (Council) Draft #6 September 2024

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY


This plan is structured into 4 major sections, each building upon each other. The guide below provides a summary on how to navigate and best utilize this plan.

HOW TO USE THIS PLAN



This plan is structured into 4 major sections, each building upon each other. The guide below provides a summary on how to navigate and best utilize this plan.

1 LAND USE THEMES




details the land use themes developed from the community's shared values and vision. Each theme is made up of a primary goal, which provides direction and expectations of what the plan should achieve. Furthermore, each theme goal has a series of objectives that provide strategic recommendations on how to achieve each theme goal.

PLACETYPE DESCRIPTIONS



Describes the various types of places in the city that represent a vision for the desired mix of uses, development character, urban design features, and density for areas within Dallas. This section provides character descriptions, application methods, and urban design guidance for each placetype.

3 FUTURE PLACETYPE MAP



Provides a graphical and geographical representation of where each placetype is located in the city and how they are related to each other.

4 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

WORKING DRAFT		TRANSIT-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT (TOD) + CONNECTIVITY		Transit-Oriented Development & Connectivity Implementation Table	
Characteristics	Action Step	Lead	Project Manager	Key Partners	
Environment: new housing, streetscape, amenities, and walkability	Develop a transit-oriented community plan (short-term) Identify TOD locations and design them to be walkable, green, and vibrant. Identify areas and resources to support transit-oriented development.	PD/CD	CD	DATA, MPA, CD, TPA, DRAFT	
4 stations	Right-of-way and land acquisition for transit-oriented developments around stations. Identify stations and areas to support transit-oriented developments.	PD/CD	CD	DATA, MPA, CD, TPA, DRAFT	
Align:	Develop a transit-oriented TOD (TOD) ordinance. Identify key components of the ordinance, such as density, height, building types, and transportation facilities. Identify local partners and stakeholders to support the ordinance. Identify local partners and stakeholders to support the ordinance. Identify local partners and stakeholders to support the ordinance.	PD/CD	CD	DATA, TPA, CD, MPA, DRAFT	
Transit-oriented development located has been developed by residents.	Identify local partners and stakeholders to support the ordinance. Identify local partners and stakeholders to support the ordinance.	MPA		DATA, TPA, CD, MPA, DRAFT	

Details how each land use theme will be addressed, which agencies and departments will lead the effort, and a timeframe on when related action items will be achieved.



SECTION REVISIONS

[01] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Remove Par. 3-5. Except last sentence of 5- "Land use and zoning decisions should proceed from the fundamental tenet that all residents of Dallas are equal and can live and thrive as neighbors in all parts of the City." **Page:** 1-2

[02] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** In title of third section remove undefined term, 'neighborhood-led' in front of Planned development districts. **Page:** 1-4

[03] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Par. 1: Insert 'and other neighborhood-led efforts, including predominantly residential planned development districts and subdistricts'. **Page:** 1-4

CONTENTS

Environmental Justice
TOD + Connectivity
Housing Choice + Access
Economic Development + Revitalization
Community + Urban Design



CHAPTER 2

LAND USE THEMES



OVERVIEW

This chapter details the land use themes developed from the community's shared values and vision. Each theme is made up of a primary goal that provides direction and expectations of what the plan should achieve. Furthermore, each theme goal has a series of objectives that provide strategic recommendations on how to achieve each theme goal.

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE + SUSTAINABILITY

THEIR

WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?

1. Inequitable concentration of incompatible land-uses in communities of color leading to R/ECAP (Racially or Ethically Concentrated Areas of Poverty) areas.
2. Communities at risk near floodplains.
3. Negative impacts on community quality of life, health, air quality and water quality due to heavy industrial proximities.
4. Excessive impervious surfaces citywide, increasing urban heat island effect and storm water runoff.

1

DID YOU KNOW?



Environmental Justice is the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income, concerning the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies.¹ Environmental Justice ensures equitable access to healthy communities and environmental benefits. It prevents disproportionate burdens from environmental hazards and provides equitable involvement in decision-making processes for all people, regardless of race, ethnicity, income, or national origin.²

Sustainability is the concept of creating and maintaining conditions under which humans and nature can exist in productive harmony and that permit fulfilling social, economic, and other requirements of present and future generations.³

GOAL

Actively and equitably protect communities from the effects of environmental hazards, while enhancing environmental quality through proactive protection, conservation, and sustainable practices in both natural and built environments.

Decrease the % of industrial zones/uses near residential zones/uses*

(Key Performance Indicators to be developed and tracked post plan adoption with annual reporting to either City Plan Commission or Council.)



[KEY ISSUES]

1. Inequitable concentration of incompatible land-uses in communities of color leading to R/ECAP (Racially or Ethically Concentrated Areas of Poverty) areas.
2. Communities at risk near floodplains.
3. Negative impacts on community quality of life, health, air quality and water quality due to heavy industrial proximities.
4. Excessive impervious surfaces citywide, increasing urban heat island effect and storm water runoff.

WHERE ARE WE TODAY? [CURRENT CONDITIONS]

Land use policy has played a significant role in the disparate distribution of environmental burdens, particularly near communities of color, and outdated land use and zoning tools have reinforced barriers to addressing environmental justice concerns. The City of Dallas has approximately 70,000 acres of residential land, comprising 32% of the city's total land area. Of this, 10,700 acres (5% of the city's land area) are located within 1,000 feet of an industrially zoned district. ForwardDallas 2.0 prioritizes environmental justice concerns and identifies multiple areas on the future placetype map where changes in the future land use mix should occur to encourage healthier environments for people and habitat.

MEASURING SUCCESS [METRICS]

EQUITY CONNECTION

*Implement policies and programs on existing pollution sources to identify incompatible land use decisions in/near equity priority areas (Dallas Racial Equity Plan).

HOW WILL IT BE DONE? [ACTION ITEMS]

WHO WILL DO IT? [LEAD PARTNER]

WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE? [OBJECTIVES]

A Create and Support Citywide Environmental Justice (EJ) Goals.

B Mitigate Negative Environmental and Public Health Impacts from the Built Environment.

C Support the Environmental Protection and Expansion of Natural Resources.

MEASURING SUCCESS [METRICS]

EQUITY CONNECTION

*Implement policies and programs on existing pollution sources to identify incompatible land use decisions in/near equity priority areas (Dallas Racial Equity Plan).

Refer to Implementation Tables in Chapter 4

1 NEIGHBORHOOD CENTERS

DART Typology: Community Centers




2 MIXED-USE CENTERS

DART Typology: Urban Districts + Town Centers




3 CITY CENTERS

DART Typology: Urban Districts + Urban Core



4 SPECIAL PURPOSE CENTER

DART Typology: Destination Districts + Other



TOD Typology Key Elements

Buildings lining pedestrian-friendly streets and public spaces.

Walking, cycling, & scootering offer multimodal access.

Pattern and scale of development tends to support the potential for reduced parking, car usage, and/or low-speed vehicular traffic.

Creating designated space for curbside stops can reduce transit delays and create a safer environment for pedestrians and cyclists.

Example: Hampton Station



Example: Beckley Ave & Commerce St.



MODES OF TRANSPORTATION

WALKING



TRAIL




BUS



LIGHT RAIL



Example: Parkland Health



TOD TYPLOGIES

TOD typologies acknowledge that context varies throughout the city and provides broad parameters for the scale and intensity of development, use mix, access, and market potential. Each typology should feature multiple modes of transportation.

These typologies are based on DART's typologies with an emphasis on their connection Dallas' future place-types (see Chapter 3 for more info on PlaceTypes).

For details on the application of these typologies within DART station area, refer to DART's "Transit Oriented Development Guidelines".

Map of DART Rail Stations and TOD Typologies in: Appendix A: Maps

GOAL
Equitably increase attainable housing options throughout the city, particularly near job centers and transit-oriented locations, to meet the diverse housing needs of all people in Dallas.



HOUSING CHOICE + ACCESS

THEME

1

WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?


1. Decreasing stock of naturally occurring affordable housing.
2. A lack of diverse and affordable housing options citywide due in part to zoning and land use barriers.
3. Need for increased coordination between housing, economic development and transportation planning.
4. Disproportionate displacement in low-to-moderate income areas due in part to burdensome development restrictions.

[KEY ISSUES]

Increase # of housing units in areas of displacement risk, TOD areas, and commercial corridors (REP)*

(Key Performance Indicators to be developed and tracked post plan adoption with annual reporting to either City Plan Commission or Council.)

DID YOU KNOW?



WHERE ARE WE TODAY? [CURRENT CONDITIONS]

As a fundamental right, housing access refers not only to affordable housing for households with the lowest incomes but also ensures opportunities for residents of all incomes, phases of life, abilities, and lifestyles. This includes locating a variety of diverse and sensitively scaled housing types throughout the city, particularly in areas near transit, employment centers, services and amenities, as well as preserving and strengthening naturally occurring affordable and workforce housing in established neighborhoods.

5 MEASURING SUCCESS

[METRICS]

5

HOW WILL IT BE DONE?

[ACTION ITEMS]

4

WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE?

[OBJECTIVES]

3

WHERE ARE WE TODAY? [CURRENT CONDITIONS]

Dallas' total cost burdened household percentage is roughly 36%. In 2023, the City of Dallas adopted an updated housing policy, Housing Policy 2033, that included extensive housing-related data, much of which demonstrated continued disparities in housing opportunity and the need for a different approach to housing. Dallasites are increasingly more housing cost burdened, but the impact is falling disproportionately on Black and Latinx residents whose median household incomes are far less than White (Non-Hispanic/Latinx) households and whose median home values are estimated to be ~~up to three times lower than the average~~ ^{one-third the average median} ~~median home value as little as~~ ^{one-third the average median} ~~home value~~ for White (Non-Hispanic/Latinx) households.

Over the past several years, housing costs have risen significantly, and incomes have not kept pace, particularly for Black and Latinx residents. According to the 2021 US Census American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates, there have been significant changes in housing costs between the same survey in 2018. Estimated median home value has risen to \$303,444 from \$209,700, and Median Gross Rent is estimated at \$1,305, up from \$1,054. Median Household Income has risen to \$58,249, up from \$52,210, but has not increased proportionally with the increased cost of housing. US Census data further approximates that 44% of Dallas renters (59% of households) and 25% of owner-occupied households (41%) are considered cost-burdened.

A Provide a Mix of Housing Types and Affordabilities ~~Across All Neighborhoods~~ ⁰² Citywide to Meet Diverse Needs.

B Coordinate Stabilization Efforts in Neighborhoods Experiencing Change, Particularly in Areas Most Vulnerable to Displacement.

C Align Land Use Policy & Process with Housing Strategies, Plans, and Programs.

D Protect and Preserve Existing Neighborhoods ⁰³

6 EQUITY CONNECTION

[METRICS]

6

WHO WILL DO IT?

[LEAD PARTNER]

5

HOW WILL IT BE DONE?

[ACTION ITEMS]

4

WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE?

[OBJECTIVES]

3

WHERE ARE WE TODAY? [CURRENT CONDITIONS]

[OBJECTIVES]

2

HOW WILL IT BE DONE?

[ACTION ITEMS]

1

WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE?

[OBJECTIVES]

*Close the homeownership gap and secure housing stability by deploying anti-displacement strategies in transitioning neighborhoods (e.g., gentrification) to address longstanding inequities by ensuring zoning is inclusive of historically disadvantaged communities to encourage sustainability and thriving opportunities. (Dallas Racial Equity Plan).

Refer to Implementation Tables in Chapter 4

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT + REVITALIZATION

THEME



1

WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?

1. Barriers to equitable economic development opportunities in areas with a concentration of incompatible land uses.
2. Increased vacancy in single-use commercial shopping centers, corridors, and office parks due, in part, to restrictive use requirements.
3. Private investment and development barriers in Southern Dallas due to aging or non-existent infrastructure and difficulties accessing capital for local investors.

Promote equitable development of Dallas' diverse communities across the city, through the revitalization of neighborhood centers, commercial corridors, employment centers, and transit areas.

GOAL



DID YOU KNOW?

As Dallas experiences shifting market trends for retail and office spaces and geographical shifts in investment, land use policy must proactively respond to these dynamics while laying the foundation for a more balanced and equitable city. A significant number of commercial corridors present opportunities for placemaking, revisioning, and revitalization to inject new life into areas and accommodate the current and future demand for mixed use developments. Additionally, the City's transit stations and high-capacity bus routes offer unique opportunities to drive transit-oriented development, seamlessly connecting people to employment.

WHERE ARE WE TODAY? [CURRENT CONDITIONS]

2

One of the implementation items of the City of Dallas recently adopted (2022) Economic Development Policy (EDP) is to adopt a future land use map to address land use inequities, guide development and increase opportunities in disinvested areas. ForwardDallas is aligned with the EDP, and the future land use recommendations in this plan support placing focus on aging and underutilized commercial corridors, particularly those adjacent to disinvested and underserved neighborhoods, to inject new life into areas with existing infrastructure.

WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE? [OBJECTIVES]

- A Implement Transformative Placemaking Strategies to Revitalize Commercial Corridors, Transit Nodes, and Employment Centers.
- B Prioritize Equitable Growth by Strategically Targeting Investments in Underserved Communities.
- C Foster Economically Resilient Communities Through Diverse and Sustainable Development Practices.
- D Remove Land Use and Zoning Barriers That Hinder Small Business Development.

MEASURING SUCCESS [METRICS]

- 5 HOW WILL IT BE DONE?
- 6 WHO WILL DO IT?

ACTION ITEMS [LEAD PARTNER]

- 5 MEASURING SUCCESS [METRICS]
- 6 EQUITY CONNECTION

*Increase the development of historically disadvantaged communities with high residential vacancies (Dallas Racial Equity Plan).

Refer to Implementation Tables in Chapter 4

COMMUNITY + URBAN DESIGN

THEME



1 WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT? [KEY ISSUES]

1. Unsustainable urban sprawl and form, detrimental to quality of life
2. Historical lack of cohesive urban design in the city
3. Lack of historic preservation led to loss of community identity

DID YOU
KNOW?

2 WHERE ARE WE TODAY? [CURRENT CONDITIONS]

Urban design crucially shapes the public realm to promote a healthy and socially interactive environment, thus contributing to the city's economic success. It gives form, shape, and character to buildings, neighborhoods, and the city, making each more functional and visually appealing.


A comprehensive or citywide set of urban design guidelines currently does not exist. The implementation of urban design principles within the city is primarily achieved through a handful of policies, precedents, and projects that provide guidance and best practices for the public realm. To date, of the 40 urban design action items within ForwardDallas 2006, only seven (7) have been substantially completed.

3 WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE? [OBJECTIVES]


- A Establish a Citywide Urban Design Framework.
- B Integrate Urban Design Standards and Guidance Into the Development Review Process and Future Planning Efforts.
- C Strengthen the Sense of Place and Community Identity for All Dallas Neighborhoods.

5 MEASURING SUCCESS

[METRICS]



[ACTION ITEMS]




[LEAD PARTNER]

Metrics to be developed with citywide urban design guidelines (Key Performance Indicators to be developed and tracked post plan adoption with annual reporting to either City Plan Commission or Council.)


Engage residents through arts and cultural programs that fully integrate neighborhoods and historically disadvantaged communities into civic life and create a community ecosystem where art and culture are valued in every neighborhood. (Dallas Racial Equity Plan).

6 EQUITY CONNECTION

[METRICS]



[ACTION ITEMS]



[LEAD PARTNER]

Refer to Implementation Tables in Chapter 4

GOAL
Adopt and implement context-sensitive design and development guidance to help shape Dallas' streets, sidewalks, buildings, and open spaces, ensuring functional, safe, sustainable, and vibrant spaces that not only reflect but also enhance Dallas' distinct places and diverse communities.



WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

CITY OF DALLAS

LAND USE THEMES | CHAPTER 2

COMMUNITY + URBAN DESIGN

THEME



Adopt and implement context-sensitive design and development guidance to help shape Dallas' streets, sidewalks, buildings, and open spaces, ensuring functional, safe, sustainable, and vibrant spaces that not only reflect but also enhance Dallas' distinct places and diverse communities.


GOAL

URBAN DESIGN PRINCIPLES

1. Enhance the physical design of the public realm by harmoniously integrating citywide initiatives with local community values.
2. Build a resilient and sustainable Dallas to improve quality of life, focusing on equity, harmonizing the built and natural environment, and bolstering economic vitality.
3. Develop a balanced multi-modal mobility network that creates a safe and more well-connected city.
4. Maximize the contributions of each public space to more effectively thread together the built environment.
5. New development should celebrate distinct built and natural assets to help further strengthen each community's vitality, health, and identity.
6. Unify the design of buildings, open space, and landscapes to further enhance the public experience.

WORKING DRAFT


PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY



Community + Urban Design guidance within this document will be provided using two element types: **Urban Framework & Urban Form**


1. URBAN FRAMEWORK

Illustrates how users experience the arrangement of land uses throughout the city and how those activities relate to each other
APPEARS IN PLACETYPE MAPS



2. URBAN FORM

Describes the physical characteristics of a place within the city
APPEARS IN PLACETYPE DESCRIPTIONS



THEME CONNECTIONS

Community + Urban Design is a unifying theme that links all other themes together.



LEGEND

	Environmental Justice + Sustainability
	Housing Choice + Access
	Community + Urban Design
	TOD + Connectivity



SECTION REVISIONS

[01] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** In second column, replace 'up to three times lower than the average median home value' with 'as little as one third the average median home value.' **Page:** 2-8

[02] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** In cloud 3A, replace 'Provide a mix of housing types and affordabilities across all neighborhoods to meet diverse needs.' with 'Provide a mix of housing types and affordabilities city wide to meet diverse needs.' **Page:** 2-9

[03] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Create a new objective 3D: 'Protect and preserve existing neighborhoods.' *(This objective includes- 'Identify stable areas and put strategies in place that direct incompatible growth away from these areas of stability and into areas where development can be a force for healthy change.)* **Page:** 2-9

CONTENTS

What Are Placetypes?

Land Use/Placetype Matrix

Placetype Descriptions

Citywide Future Placetype Map



CHAPTER 3

PLACETYPES

OVERVIEW

The placetypes in this plan represent the form of future development as envisioned by the Dallas community. These placetypes will in turn provide the macro-level guidance that will help to inform the City's future Development Code Update and possible Citywide Urban Design Guidelines.



This section provides a general summary of what placetypes are and how to use them in this plan.

WHAT ARE PLACETYPES?



WHAT ARE PLACETYPES?

Placetypes describe the long-term vision and desired building and preservation characteristics for different places within the city, including neighborhoods, mixed-use areas, employment and industry centers, and open spaces.

They provide a high-level guide for the desired mix of land uses, design and the recommended intensity and scale of the different uses. The placetype descriptions are translated into a graphical placetype map that provides the long-range visual view of the different places in Dallas.


HOW WERE PLACETYPES DEVELOPED?

The unique characteristics included in each of the placetypes are rooted in over two years of community input and thousands of comments from people across the city of Dallas.


The Development Code is a regulatory (legal) tool used to implement guidance provided by the more generalized placetype descriptions and the actions items found within the implementation chapter. ForwardDallas 2.0 includes several actions steps where updates to the Development Code are recommended. In each placetype, a variety of zoning districts may be found and in order to achieve the vision laid out in the placetypes, certain development code updates may be required. For example, the plan recommends more housing and mixed use development along higher capacity commercial corridors, which may require updates to the commercial and mixed use zoning districts, to achieve the type of design that is envisioned for those areas.

RELATIONSHIP TO DEVELOPMENT CODE

The Development Code is a regulatory (legal) tool used to implement guidance provided by the more generalized placetype descriptions and the actions items found within the implementation chapter. ForwardDallas 2.0 includes several actions steps where updates to the Development Code are recommended. In each placetype, a variety of zoning districts may be found and in order to achieve the vision laid out in the placetypes, certain development code updates may be required. For example, the plan recommends more housing and mixed use development along higher capacity commercial corridors, which may require updates to the commercial and mixed use zoning districts, to achieve the type of design that is envisioned for those areas.



ROLE OF PLACETYPES IN THIS PLAN



SUPPORTING POLICY DOCUMENTS

Several recommendations in this plan will also require updates to the City's Development Code and potentially the creation of citywide Urban Design Guidelines to fully implement the vision.

LIMITATIONS OF PLACETYPES?

Due to the macro scale of the plan, not all uses or design considerations described within the placetypes may be suitable for every individual property. The placetypes are one of several factors that elected and appointed officials take into consideration when making decisions about new development, in addition to the context of the surrounding area, other property-specific considerations, and other citywide adopted policies.

CHAPTER 3 | PLACETYPES

PLACETYPE / LAND USE MATRIX

LEGEND:
● - PRIMARY USE
○ - SECONDARY USE

Placetypes are land use categories that represent a vision for the desired mix of uses, development character, urban design features, and density for areas within the city.

- Primary Use: A more prevalent and prominent land use that plays a pivotal role in characterizing a placetype

- Secondary Use: A less prevalent use that may serve to support or complement the primary land use in a placetype, **but often requires justification, higher scrutiny, and adherence with the locational strategy.**

LAND USES

TOD¹ PLACETYPES

		Agricultural	Public Open Space	Private Open Space	Single Family Detached	Single Family Attached	Multiplex	Apartments	Mixed-Use	Lodging	Commercial	Office	Civic / Public Institutional	Utility	Light Industry/ Distribution	Heavy Industrial
EXAMPLES		● Animal production	● Nature preserves	● All Single Family residential units	● City Park	● Townhomes	● Multifamily with 9 or fewer attached dwelling units	● Multifamily with 8 or more attached dwelling units	● Combination of residential, retail, lodging, and/or office	● Hotels	● Retail Personal services	● Financial institutions	● Schools Religious institutions	● Telecom Tower Power station	● Warehouses ³	
TOD ¹	→	● Commercial stable	● Golf courses Cemetery	● Golf Courses Cemetery	● Nature Preserves Cemetery	● Duplexes Triplexes ⁰²	● Townhouses	● Duplices	● Extended stays	● Motels	● Motel Extended stays	● Restaurants	● Religious institutions	● Religious institutions	● Maint. shops Office/ Showroom	
REGIONAL OPEN SPACE	→	● Crop production	● Agritourism	● Agritourism	● Nature preserves	● Nature Preserves Cemetery	● Apartments	● Apartments	● Lodging	● Hotels	● Motels	● Restaurants	● Hospitals Government buildings	● Light manufacturing	● Warehouses ³	
SMALL TOWN RESIDENTIAL	●	● Stable	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ●	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL	●	● Crop production	● Agritourism	● Agritourism	● ●	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
CITY RESIDENTIAL	●	● Nature preserves	● Golf courses Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
NEIGHBORHOOD MIXED-USE	●	● Golf Courses Cemetery	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
COMMUNITY MIXED-USE	●	● Nature Preserves Cemetery	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
REGIONAL MIXED-USE	●	● Crop Courses Cemetery	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
CITY CENTER	●	● Nature Preserves Cemetery	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
INSTITUTIONAL CAMPUS	●	● Crop Courses Cemetery	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
FLEX COMMERCIAL	●	● Nature Preserves Cemetery	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
LOGISTICS/ INDUSTRIAL PARK	●	● Crop Courses Cemetery	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
INDUSTRIAL HUB	●	● Nature Preserves Cemetery	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
AIRPORT ²	●	● Crop Courses Cemetery	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	
PUBLIC UTILITY ²	●	● Nature Preserves Cemetery	● Cemetery	● Cemetery	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	● ○	

1. Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) typology; refer to Chapter 2 for more details
2. Airport and Public Utility placetype description spreads not included in plan document
3. In the Flex Commercial placetype, only small warehouses less than 20,000 - 25,000 square feet are recommended. Warehouses larger than 25,000 square feet should be directed toward the logistics/industrial park and industrial hub placetypes.

4. The land use matrix is not intended to be a zoning use matrix



REGIONAL OPEN SPACE (RO)

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

Lakes, rivers, streams, forests and parks form a vital system of regional open spaces throughout Dallas. Nature preserves such as Cedar Ridge Preserve and green corridors such as the Trinity Greenbelt are examples of this placetype. Regional open spaces are typically open to everyone and can be programmed with a mix of recreational and leisure activities. Regional open spaces preserve important environment and ecological functions in addition to leisure and recreation.

These natural environs give city residents a way to escape from their urban surroundings and opportunities to enjoy Dallas' natural resources. Preserving Regional Open Space areas is vital to the long-term environmental health and quality of life of residents and visitors of Dallas. Parks and open spaces of different sizes and utilities should be integrated throughout Dallas to serve neighborhoods and developments; however, the Regional Open Space place-type is reserved for large, dedicated areas that function as distinct places in their own right. Smaller-scale communities, neighborhood parks, and greenways complement and add to these Regional Open Spaces.

WORKING DRAFT PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

A. PLACETYPE APPLICATION

RO A-1

- The City and partner organizations should continue to invest in the preserving and enhancing of established Regional Open Space areas.

RO A-2

- Many of these areas identified on the Future Placetype Map are environmentally sensitive areas, such as riparian zones adjacent to waterways, floodplains, and flood-prone areas.





RO A-3

- Where appropriate, Regional Open Space amenities can be integrated into new development using conservation design strategies that will preserve green space while enhancing access to natural areas; however, structures are typically limited in number and are intended to support on-site recreational activities and/or civic uses.

RO A-4

- New development and infrastructure should include enhanced connectivity for pedestrians and bikes to access open spaces and parks.

FUTURE LAND USE MIX




WORKING DRAFT

REGIONAL OPEN SPACE (RO)



As of 2023, Dallas has 381 Parks totaling 18,842 acres and over 14.7 million trees contributing to a tree canopy cover of 32%. The parks, trails, and open space within the Regional Open Space placetype play an important role in connecting people to nature, limiting urban encroachment into natural systems, and enhancing overall environmental quality.



WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

B. ADJACENCIES

URBAN DESIGN ELEMENTS + STRATEGIES (CONT.)



THEME CONNECTIONS



MOBILITY + ACCESS

The predominance of large areas of green space in the Regional Open Space placetype minimizes the need for established transition areas between adjacent development.

RO B-1 As new development surrounding these areas occurs, install trails, enhanced sidewalks, traffic calming devices, and raised crosswalks to improve connections leading to the Regional Open Space areas.

RO B-2 Adjacent development should support environmentally sensitive areas and tree canopy.

RO B-3 Regional recreation facilities and parking areas should include landscape buffers, appropriate lighting, and sound mitigations when abutting residential areas.

RO B-4 Neighborhood park amenities integrated within the Regional Open Space areas should be located in well-connected edge zones to maximize access for local residents.

GREEN + OPEN SPACE

Utilize conservation design strategies, to be detailed in a future citywide urban design and conservation policy, that integrates connected local green space amenities into new development and increase access to Regional Open Space areas.

RO B-1 Utilize conservation design strategies, to be detailed in a future citywide urban design and conservation policy, that integrates connected local green space amenities into new development and increase access to Regional Open Space areas.

RO B-2 Integrate new or improved adjacent local-serving public green space such as neighborhood parks, greenways, parklets, and community gardens into the existing Regional Open Space fabric and enhance resident access to such amenities.

RO B-3 When possible, prioritize creek and river access as an amenity.

STREETSCAPE + PARKING

Parking should be minimized and consolidated when possible, primarily to support civic or recreational uses within this placetype.

RO B-1 Promote environmentally low-impact design (LID) for any supporting structures built within this placetype, including the use of green infrastructure and conservation design to reduce stormwater flows and improve water quality, while reducing the urban heat island effect and increasing tree canopy.

RO B-2 Structures should be designed in a way that complements, supplements, and helps define the natural features and open space within the Regional Open Space placetype.

BUILDING FORM + CHARACTER

Structures are limited in number, vary in size depending on the purpose of the building and the setting, and are typically low-rise.

RO B-1 Promote environmentally low-impact design (LID) for any supporting structures built within this placetype, including the use of green infrastructure and conservation design to reduce stormwater flows and improve water quality, while reducing the urban heat island effect and increasing tree canopy.

RO B-2 Structures should be designed in a way that complements, supplements, and helps define the natural features and open space within the Regional Open Space placetype.

RO B-3 Promote environmentally low-impact design (LID) for any supporting structures built within this placetype, including the use of green infrastructure and conservation design to reduce stormwater flows and improve water quality, while reducing the urban heat island effect and increasing tree canopy.

RO B-4 Structures should be designed in a way that complements, supplements, and helps define the natural features and open space within the Regional Open Space placetype.

RO B-5 Promote environmentally low-impact design (LID) for any supporting structures built within this placetype, including the use of green infrastructure and conservation design to reduce stormwater flows and improve water quality, while reducing the urban heat island effect and increasing tree canopy.


RO B-6 Structures should be designed in a way that complements, supplements, and helps define the natural features and open space within the Regional Open Space placetype.

RO B-7 Promote environmentally low-impact design (LID) for any supporting structures built within this placetype, including the use of green infrastructure and conservation design to reduce stormwater flows and improve water quality, while reducing the urban heat island effect and increasing tree canopy.

RO B-8 Structures should be designed in a way that complements, supplements, and helps define the natural features and open space within the Regional Open Space placetype.

RO B-9 Promote environmentally low-impact design (LID) for any supporting structures built within this placetype, including the use of green infrastructure and conservation design to reduce stormwater flows and improve water quality, while reducing the urban heat island effect and increasing tree canopy.

RO B-10 Structures should be designed in a way that complements, supplements, and helps define the natural features and open space within the Regional Open Space placetype.



LAND USE TYPICAL SECTION

Agricultural

Public Open

Private Open

Mixed Use

Office

Lodging

Commercial

Utility

Civic

Industrial

Highway

Primary

Secondary

Attached

SF-Detached

Multiple

Apartment

Mixed-Use

Office

Lodging

Commercial

Utility

Civic

Industrial

Highway

Primary

Secondary

Attached

SF-Detached

Multiple

Apartment

Mixed-Use

Office

Lodging

Commercial

Utility

Civic

Industrial

Highway

Primary

Secondary

Attached

SF-Detached

Multiple

Apartment

Mixed-Use

Office

Lodging

Commercial

Utility

Civic

Industrial

Highway

Primary

Secondary

Attached

SF-Detached

Multiple

Apartment

Mixed-Use

Office

Lodging

Commercial

Utility

Civic

Industrial

Highway

Primary

Secondary

Attached

SF-Detached

Multiple

Apartment

Mixed-Use

Office

Lodging

Commercial

Utility

Civic

Industrial

Highway

Primary

Secondary

Attached

SF-Detached

Multiple

Apartment

Mixed-Use

Office

Lodging

Commercial

Utility

Civic

Industrial

Highway

Primary

Secondary

Attached

SF-Detached

Multiple

Apartment

Mixed-Use

Office

Lodging

Commercial

Utility

Civic

Industrial

Highway

Primary

Secondary

Attached

SF-Detached

Multiple

Apartment

Mixed-Use

Office

Lodging

Commercial

Utility

Civic

Industrial

Highway

Primary

Secondary

Attached

SF-Detached

Multiple

Apartment

Mixed-Use

Office

Lodging

Commercial

Utility

Civic

Industrial

Highway

Primary

Secondary

Attached

SF-Detached



SMALL TOWN RESIDENTIAL (ST)

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

This placetype is found in portions of southeast and southwest Dallas and represents some of the last areas to be annexed into the City of Dallas in the 1960s and 1970s. Small Town Residential areas include communities such as Kleberg and Rylie that had their own defined identity prior to annexation including a mix of small single-family neighborhoods, rural estate lots, and active agricultural uses. Horse stables, tree farms, and small-scale farming complement the housing found in Small Town Residential areas.

The Neighborhood Mixed-Use and Community Mixed-Use placetypes serve as companions to Small-Town Residential communities, providing needed access to a variety of housing, services, shopping, and other activities essential to a high-quality of life.

However, with limited density to support local shops, Small Town Residential areas have fewer commercial and retail opportunities and both the Neighborhood Mixed-Use placetypes should be clustered and implemented strategically with existing or planned infrastructure to serve the immediate residential areas. Industrial land uses are not compatible in this placetype. Commercial and mixed-use development should be focused around "Town Center" style development, smaller town "main Streets," or "Town Squares". As the population of the city increases and communities in this placetype densify, the Community Residential placetype could serve as a future option.

A. PLACETYPE APPLICATION

STA-1

Roadways have limited improvements given their more rural nature, but where possible, multi-use paths are used to provide biking, riding, and pedestrian connectivity throughout the placetype.

STA-2

When large open spaces or properties with limited development are defined as Small Town Residential, zoning and infrastructure should be planned in a manner that prevents heavy commercial or industrial land uses.

STA-3

Changes within this placetype should be sensitive to the existing context and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

STA-4

Secondary and accessory land uses near existing residential or agricultural land uses, such as farms and ranches, can be located along major corridors or adjacent to the Neighborhood Mixed-Use placetype.


LOCAL EXAMPLES

1. WEST KLEBERG
2. EAST KLEBERG
3. RYLE
4. JOPPA


THEME CONNECTIONS



● PRIMARY USE
○ SECONDARY USE



FUTURE LAND USE MIX



SMALL TOWN RESIDENTIAL (ST)



WORKING DRAFT
PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

B. ADJACENCIES

URBAN DESIGN ELEMENTS + STRATEGIES



URBAN DESIGN ELEMENTS + STRATEGIES (CONT.)

THEME CONNECTIONS



ST B-5

New residential development in this placetype should align with the established large-lot ranchette style or the compact, small-town neighborhood development pattern.

ST B-6

Any industrial uses adjacent to this placetype should be environmentally low-impact, well buffered with natural vegetation from residential uses, and contained within the property to avoid negative spill over impacts on residential uses or environmentally sensitive areas.

ST B-7

Single family attached, compact multifamily, and multiplexes should be context sensitive and located generally along larger roads, Complete Streets, and in close proximity to the Neighborhood Mixed-Use placetype.

ST B-3

The Industrial Hub Placetype and incompatible industrial and heavy commercial uses should not be adjacent to this placetype.

ST B-4

Natural areas should be integrated into development to provide a natural buffer between residential areas and more intense development.

MOBILITY + ACCESS

Create a well-connected multiuse street network with an emphasis on connecting residential areas to nearby commercial centers and community assets.

Adopt Vision Zero principles in rural residential neighborhoods by designing multi-use paths and strategically positioning transit infrastructure.

GREEN + OPEN SPACE

Integrate “agrihood” features into neighborhood design including working farms, community gardens, apiaries, orchards, and ranching.

ST B-3

Consider shared parking arrangements to reduce overall parking demand especially if the rural development includes various facilities with differing peak usage times (e.g., a community center, library, and sports fields),

STREETSCAPE + PARKING

Blend parking areas into its surroundings, using landscaping and materials that minimize its visual and environmental impact.

ST B-4

Preserve the small town character in this placetype through the development of deep setbacks on large lots and shorter setbacks on smaller lots within more compact residential areas.

ST B-7

Commercial development should be focused at intersections and be organized in a compact manner with a “main street” feel.

BUILDING FORM + CHARACTER

Preserve the small town character in this placetype through the development of deep setbacks on large lots and shorter setbacks on smaller lots within more compact residential areas.

ST B-6

Commercial development should be focused at intersections and be organized in a compact manner with a “main street” feel.

ST B-7

Consider shared parking arrangements to reduce overall parking demand especially if the rural development includes various facilities with differing peak usage times (e.g., a community center, library, and sports fields),

THEME CONNECTIONS

Preserve the small town character in this placetype through the development of deep setbacks on large lots and shorter setbacks on smaller lots within more compact residential areas.

ST B-6

Blend parking areas into its surroundings, using landscaping and materials that minimize its visual and environmental impact.

ST B-7

Preserve the small town character in this placetype through the development of deep setbacks on large lots and shorter setbacks on smaller lots within more compact residential areas.





COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL (CR)

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

The Community Residential placetype represents a major mainstay of Dallas. **The quality of life in Dallas is reflected in the quality of life in its neighborhoods.** Neighborhoods in this placetype are celebrated for their resilience, value, and stability. They add to the city. **This plan is designed to protect and support the vital foundation of our existing neighborhoods, promote new, walkable neighborhoods, and encourage support for neighborhoods in need of revitalization.** It is vital that in stable neighborhoods, the City, developers, and the local community work together on any proposed changes to bring about quality, sustainable and equitable development that complements the existing context.

The Community Residential placetype encompasses the largest percentage of land within Dallas and is primarily made up of single-family homes. Parks, schools, and places of worship are interspersed throughout, providing focal points for community activity. Sensitive integrated housing types, such as duplexes and smaller-scaled multifamily complexes, can be found in many of these areas. Local commercial and office uses, as well as neighborhood-scaled apartments, may also be found, generally along main streets and at intersections, offering convenient access to goods and services, promoting a greater mix of uses, and supporting active, walkable environments. Non-residential and apartment uses are generally located within a

05

06

Neighborhood Preservation and Stability

- ForwardDallas recognizes that it is imperative to maintain, stabilize and revitalize existing neighborhoods.
- Encouraging the creation of new neighborhoods that are safe, pedestrian friendly, and provide diverse housing opportunities is one of the city's key economic development priorities.

- Dallas has a strong tradition of neighborhood self-determination, which should be promoted to ensure the continued vitality of all neighborhoods.
- Support efforts to improve and stabilize neighborhoods.

Community Engagement

- Changes to areas within Community neighborhoods must prioritize community engagement. The community engagement should be initiated early in the process that is bringing about the change, and should be given significant weight in the decision making that occurs within this process.
- Residential opportunities for home ownership is also important within this placetype. Dallas has a high percentage of rental housing, especially concentrated in large apartment complexes. Based on public research and community workshops, there is an unmet demand for more homeownership, including traditional single-family homes, townhouses, duplexes, and condominiums.

05

06

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

A. PLACETYPE APPLICATION

CR A-1

Strategic planning is needed in this placetype to help determine the appropriate mix of land uses and infrastructure improvements to promote multi-modal connectivity within the neighborhood and to surrounding neighborhoods, public spaces, commercial, and mixed-use areas.

CR A-2

Key intersections, local commercial areas, and areas within 1/2 mile of transit stations may represent the most appropriate opportunities for redevelopment with supporting land uses.

CR A-3

Explore creating incentives available to owners of older, market-rate multiple unit rental properties, such as garden apartments, to maintain and improve their properties while preserving affordability of their existing units and avoiding displacement of residents.


CR A-4

Changes to areas within Community Residential neighborhoods should look to add housing in a way that is gentle, equitable, incremental, and sensitive to the existing context, while doing so in a manner that strengthens these neighborhoods and incorporates inclusive community engagement efforts. **Incompatible multiplex, townhome, duplex, triplex, and apartment development should be located outside of existing single-family neighborhoods.**

THEME CONNECTIONS



FUTURE LAND USE MIX



WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL (CR)

LOCATIONAL STRATEGY

When development of different housing types is proposed, location should be an important consideration. Among other things: **along with community feedback, surrounding context, and existing infrastructure and amenities, incompatible rezoning of individual single-family lots, especially mid-block, is generally discouraged.** Properties near transit stations and along commercial corridors, transition areas between non-residential and existing residential areas, former civic/institutional properties, and ~~possibly corner lots~~ underutilized shopping centers should be considered for adding these alternative housing types. **Tearing down existing housing for replacement and incompatible infill is not encouraged by this plan.**

Existing housing stock should be preserved and retained whenever possible to maintain stable neighborhoods and minimize the displacement of existing residents, particularly in areas identified as high risk for displacement.



GRIDDED

SUBURBAN

GRIDDED



WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

When development of different housing types is proposed, location should be an important consideration. Among other things: **along with community feedback, surrounding context, and existing infrastructure and amenities, incompatible rezoning of individual single-family lots, especially mid-block, is generally discouraged.** Properties near transit stations and along commercial corridors, transition areas between non-residential and existing residential areas, former civic/institutional properties, and ~~possibly corner lots~~ underutilized shopping centers should be considered for adding these alternative housing types. **Tearing down existing housing for replacement and incompatible infill is not encouraged by this plan.**

Existing housing stock should be preserved and retained whenever possible to maintain stable neighborhoods and minimize the displacement of existing residents, particularly in areas identified as high risk for displacement.



ADJACENCIES

B. ADJACENCIES

MOBILITY + ACCESS

- 1 Supporting commercial areas, including retail and mixed-use development, should be designed to promote walkability including enhanced sidewalks, street trees and landscaping, minimal curb cuts, and pedestrian-scaled lighting.
- 2 Establish a comprehensive pedestrian network emphasizing multimodal connections to transit routes, commercial areas, schools, and parks.
- 3 Local streets ideally have 6-foot sidewalks with planting strips in locations with less intense development and have 8-foot sidewalks with planting strips in locations with more intense development.
- 4 Arterials ideally have 8-foot sidewalks with either planting strips or amenity zones.
- 5 Bike lanes or separated bike lanes are provided on arterial streets. Bike boulevards are included on local streets. The bike network is complete, well-marked, safe, and easy to use.

GREEN + OPEN SPACE

- 6 Plant parkways and private yards with shade trees to expand the urban forest and improve neighborhood character.
- 7 Front and rear yards serve as private open spaces. Application can vary, but it should be generally consistent throughout this placetype.
- 8 Increased side and rear yards can serve as transitions between different housing types and commercial developments.
- 9 Have street connections to parks, schools, and other destinations, and include well-designed pedestrian connections to trails or greenways.

STREETSCAPE + PARKING

- 10 Consider shared parking spaces, including the prioritization of street parking, that can serve both residential and business needs.
- 11 Incorporate green spaces and landscaping within and around parking areas to enhance the aesthetic appeal of the neighborhood.
- 12 When feasible, place surface parking at the rear or interior of the lot to enhance the pedestrian experience.
- 13 Promote alleyway or side-loaded garages to provide a welcoming walking environment.
- 14 Anchor neighborhoods with local-serving commercial nodes.
- 15 New development should be context-sensitive, and building scale, height and massing should complement existing buildings.
- 16 Attention should be given to building height, orientation, architectural style, and setback to ensure new structures fit into neighborhood context.
- 17 All structures should be low rise structures within this placetype. The tallest low rise structures should be oriented to major streets, adjacent to mixed-use placetypes or adjacent to other taller low rise structures.
- 18 The preferred block length is ideally 400 feet and block lengths ideally do not exceed 800 feet.
- 19 Front yards are semi-private and may include front stoops and porches that contribute to a neighborhood's character.
- 20 **Gentle Density in the form of multiplexes should be prioritized along arterials and Complete Streets, not neighborhood streets.**
- 21 Front, side, and rear setbacks vary in size across neighborhoods but are generally consistent within an individual neighborhood.
- 22 **Provide visual buffers between single family uses and other more intense adjoining uses.**

URBAN DESIGN ELEMENTS + STRATEGIES (CONT.)

THEME CONNECTIONS



CR B-1

- 1 Commercial areas, including retail and mixed-use development, should be located at key intersections and along major roadways.
- 2 Housing such as duplexes, townhomes, and multi-plex should be designed to complement the scale and character of the surrounding neighborhood.
- 3 Industrial uses should not be within nor adjacent to this placetype.
- 4 Placetypes adjacent to this placetype should match the low-rise scale of this placetype at or along the adjacency before rising to mid or high rise.

- 5 Primary
- 6 Secondary
- 7 Agricultural
- 8 Public Open
- 9 Private Open
- 10 SF Attached
- 11 SF Detached
- 12 Mixed-Use
- 13 Apartment
- 14 Multiplex
- 15 Office
- 16 Civic
- 17 Utility
- 18 Land Use TYPICAL SECTION
- 19 PLACETYPES | CHAPTER 3
- 20 CHAPTER 3 | PLACETYPES
- 21 EDC (Council) Draft #6 September 2024
- 22 EDC (Council) Draft #6 September 2024

- 1 Primary
- 2 Secondary
- 3 Agricultural
- 4 Public Open
- 5 Private Open
- 6 SF Attached
- 7 SF Detached
- 8 Mixed-Use
- 9 Apartment
- 10 Multiplex
- 11 Office
- 12 Civic
- 13 Utility
- 14 Land Use TYPICAL SECTION
- 15 PLACETYPES | CHAPTER 3
- 16 CHAPTER 3 | PLACETYPES
- 17 EDC (Council) Draft #6 September 2024
- 18 EDC (Council) Draft #6 September 2024



CITY RESIDENTIAL (CU)

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

The City Residential placetype provides the greatest variety of housing types among all of the residential placetypes. City Residential neighborhoods primarily consist of high and mid-rise multifamily development, complemented by townhomes and duplex housing. For City Residential areas surrounding Downtown Dallas, development is concentrated in compact blocks with quality access to transit and a high degree of connectivity to surrounding neighborhoods. Mixed-use buildings in urban areas, generally developed vertically (multiple uses in a single building), also offer retail and commercial amenities along fixed transit/transportation nodes, hubs, and corridors.

Within more suburban areas of Dallas, these areas consist of multifamily complexes, often of fewer stories but highly dense, that are generally separated from other housing types and commercial areas by large parking areas or open spaces along the perimeter. They often offer a significant supply of more extensive, naturally occurring affordable housing for residents of varying income levels and family sizes. Development can be mixed and of similar densities to areas surrounding downtown; however in the more suburban context, multiple development uses are usually within separate structures on a single property, access is more auto-dependent, and is served mainly by bus transit. Within these areas, the City Residential placetype complements regional employment centers.

PLACETYPE APPLICATION

A. CU A-1

In addition to existing City Residential areas, new areas considered for this placetype should be located near existing or proposed DART stations, TOD sites, or along key transportation and commercial corridors to provide additional residential density and to support a mix of commercial activities.



A. CU A-2

Within established City Residential areas, properties without structures on them or without active land uses, including surface parking lots, provide opportunities for multi-family and mixed-use development.



A. CU A-3

A comfortable pedestrian environment should be prioritized to improve walkability between uses to parks and other amenities.



A. CU A-4

Redevelopment in the suburban areas with this placetype should consider consolidating density in some areas of a larger site to provide opportunities for additional open space, a greater mix of uses to provide commercial uses closer to residents, and better connected internal and external circulation, both vehicular and pedestrian.




A. CU A-5

Affordability should be prioritized if redevelopment occurs, and additional housing options should be considered to avoid displacement of existing residents.




Continued...

● PRIMARY USE
○ SECONDARY USE



FUTURE LAND USE MIX



WORKING DRAFT
PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY



WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

CITY OF DALLAS

WORKING DRAFT
PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

PLACETYPE APPLICATION

NM A-1

Mixed-Use Neighborhood Mixed-Use areas should complement the scale and character of their surrounding residential areas.



NM A-2

Where appropriate, multi-family development should be incorporated alongside or above commercial development to strengthen local support for retail and foster long-term viability.



NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE (MN)

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

Areas comprising the Neighborhood Mixed-Use placetype are anchors of commercial and social activity for the surrounding neighborhoods. This placetype incorporates local-serving retail, services and dining options and a mix of low- and medium-density residential. These areas are typically located at key intersections in nodes or along corridors where small commercial shopping centers and corner stores provide access to daily needs for residents. It can include vertical mixed-use development as well as horizontally mixed-use centers that are compatibly scaled with surrounding neighborhoods.

Planning should incorporate infrastructure improvements prioritizing pedestrian connectivity with adjoining neighborhoods, activity centers, or institutional and employment centers. Over time, incompatible uses in neighborhood centers such as light industrial and outdoor storage, should be redeveloped with uses that complement the neighborhood's commercial character.


LOCAL EXAMPLES

- LOCAL EXAM LLS**

 1. SOUTH BUCKNER BLVD
 2. HAMPTON ROAD
 3. DOWNTOWN ELMWOOD
 4. HENDERSON AVENUE



FUTURE LAND USE MIX



PLACETYPE APPLICATION



GM A-1

Established Community Mixed-Use areas should focus on incorporating additional community gathering spaces, pedestrian amenities, and enhanced landscaping as a catalyst for more destination activity around commercial uses.



GM A-2

Properties without structures on them or without active land uses, such as parking lots, provide opportunities for redevelopment for mixed-use and residential structures providing housing and housing choice in and around our neighborhoods.



CWA-3

New development should be located at the edges of large blocks to create a walkable environment, and parking and service areas should be screened from public view.



GM A-4

Housing should be integrated into under-performing, mixed-use corridors and centers to help increase housing access.



with in

residential areas, particularly those near DAR bus and rail routes, should be prioritized for redevelopment.

CM A-6 Areas intended for transition from another development pattern to the Community Mixed-Use placetype generally consist of roadway corridors or industrial areas that are no longer, or never were, compatible with proximate residential areas or the broader surrounding development pattern.

Continued...

FUTURE LAND USE MIX



MIXED-USE COMMUNITY

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

Community Mixed-Use areas are located at major intersections and along key corridors, serving multiple surrounding neighborhoods and attracting retailers and services that require a larger market area. A mix of commercial, office, residential, retail, and services are concentrated adjacent to larger nodes of activity. Commercial centers, commercial corridors, and office parks are representative of this placetype. Residential uses are accommodated within mid-rise buildings, and some mixed-use structures are connected by internal and external pedestrian pathways.

These areas are often located along DART bus and rail routes to maximize transit connections to retail and job centers and provide multiple mobility options for residents and employees.

Vehicular access is generally easily accessible given that buildings are often located on separate parcels with their own parking areas.

For Community Mixed-Use areas closer to Downtown Dallas, development is concentrated in compact blocks with quality access to transit and a high degree of connectivity to surrounding neighborhoods. Buildings in these urban areas, are generally developed vertically (multiple uses in a single building), also offer more housing options and are along fixed transit and transportation nodes, hubs, and corridors. Within more suburban areas of Dallas, these areas are consist of larger complexes, often with fewer stories while offering a large amount of retail, restaurant, and personal services that are generally separated by large parking areas or open spaces along the perimeter


COMMUNITY MIXED-USE (CM)



A. PLACETYPE APPLICATION (CONT.)

CM A-7 These areas may need more detailed planning to ensure coordinated incorporation of adequate infrastructure, appropriate redevelopment of adjacent land uses, and a well-connected public realm.

CM A-8 Certain light Industrial land use is intended as a supportive component, limited in scale (such as small office/warehouse showrooms and maker and artisanal spaces) and designed to fit cohesively within the overall composition of the



B. ADJACENCIES

MOBILITY + ACCESS

GREEN + OPEN SPACE

- 1 Design ground-floor building facades with a high degree of transparency and locate doorways along primary routes to foster a vibrant pedestrian environment.
- 2 Screen building service functions and mechanical equipment for commercial developments.
- 3 Community Mixed-Use has a dense street network to reflect the high emphasis on accessibility by all transportation modes.

CM B-1

- 4 Integrate green spaces such as plazas and parklets into commercial districts to serve as an amenity to residents and businesses.
- 5 Incorporate green infrastructure elements such as channels of absorptive landscaping, permeable pavement, and green roofs to mitigate urban flooding and heat-island effects.

BUILDING FORM + CHARACTER

- 6 Promote the use of shared parking facilities between commercial uses.
- 7 Incorporate onsite landscaping to screen parking and service areas from public rights-of-way.
- 8 When possible, discourage site design that places parking lots along roadway frontage.
- 9 When possible, encourage below grade or wrapped parking.
- 10 Incorporate landscaped buffers into new development to minimize impacts on nearby established residential areas.
- 11 Anchor commercial districts with mixed-use and commercial development at key intersections.
- 12 Taper building height and bulk in edge areas to transition to less intense development in neighboring areas.
- 13 Front facades of buildings are typically located near the sidewalk on local and main streets, and on arterial streets greater separation between the building and street travel lanes is provided.
- 14 A majority of the street frontage is occupied by buildings and urban open spaces, particularly on primary frontages.
- 15 Buildings are located near the side and rear property lines. When abutting neighborhoods, the buildings are further from the property line and there is room for a landscaped buffer.
- 16 Space between the sidewalk and the face of buildings may contain outdoor seating or usable open space that contributes to a lively streetscape and active public realm.
- 17 Short block lengths allow for more connections and create more (and shorter) route options to and through the placetype, thereby encouraging walking and cycling, while helping disperse vehicular traffic.
- 18 The preferred block length is ideally 500 feet and block lengths ideally do not exceed 650 feet.

THEME CONNECTIONS



URBAN DESIGN ELEMENTS + STRATEGIES (CONT.)



URBAN DESIGN ELEMENTS + STRATEGIES



STREETSCAPE + PARKING

- 10 Incorporate landscaped buffers into new development to minimize impacts on nearby established residential areas.
- 11 Anchor commercial districts with mixed-use and commercial development at key intersections.
- 12 Taper building height and bulk in edge areas to transition to less intense development in neighboring areas.
- 13 Front facades of buildings are typically located near the sidewalk on local and main streets, and on arterial streets greater separation between the building and street travel lanes is provided.
- 14 A majority of the street frontage is occupied by buildings and urban open spaces, particularly on primary frontages.

CM A-1

- 15 Buildings are located near the side and rear property lines. When abutting neighborhoods, the buildings are further from the property line and there is room for a landscaped buffer.
- 16 Space between the sidewalk and the face of buildings may contain outdoor seating or usable open space that contributes to a lively streetscape and active public realm.
- 17 Short block lengths allow for more connections and create more (and shorter) route options to and through the placetype, thereby encouraging walking and cycling, while helping disperse vehicular traffic.
- 18 The preferred block length is ideally 500 feet and block lengths ideally do not exceed 650 feet.

CM A-2

- 19 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-2

- 20 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-3

- 21 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-4

- 22 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-5

- 23 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-6

- 24 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-7

- 25 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-8

- 26 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-9

- 27 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-10

- 28 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-11

- 29 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-12

- 30 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-13

- 31 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-14

- 32 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-15

- 33 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-16

- 34 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-17

- 35 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-18

- 36 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-19

- 37 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-20

- 38 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-21

- 39 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-22

- 40 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-23

- 41 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-24

- 42 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-25

- 43 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-26

- 44 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-27

- 45 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-28

- 46 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-29

- 47 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-30

- 48 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-31

- 49 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-32

- 50 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-33

- 51 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-34

- 52 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-35

- 53 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-36

- 54 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-37

- 55 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-38

- 56 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-39

- 57 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-40

- 58 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-41

- 59 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-42

- 60 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-43

- 61 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-44

- 62 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-45

- 63 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-46

- 64 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-47

- 65 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-48

- 66 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-49

- 67 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.


CM B-50

- 68 New development should transition along the edges to nearby residential neighborhoods with buffering, complete streets, pedestrian pathways, and lower building heights.

CM B-51

- 69 Additional incompatible industrial uses should not be added to areas transitioning away from industrial.

CM B-52



A. PLACETYPE APPLICATION

CC A-1

Properties without structures on them or without active land uses, such as parking lots, provide opportunities for redevelopment and should be **maximized to expanded utilized to achieve** the City Center's needs and functions. ¹³

CC A-2

While certain lower intensity development should be redeveloped for more intense mixed use multi-story development, careful attention must also be paid to historic preservation and incorporation of a diversity of uses to create and maintain a vibrant downtown.

CC A-3

Multifamily development, including mixed-income housing, should be integrated into City Center areas as redevelopment occurs, including the adaptive reuse of outmoded office development to housing, broadening support for retail and dining in the City Center and contributing non-workday activity.

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

The City Center is a complete and connected neighborhood made up of the centrally located Downtown district and its adjoining communities. It “offers an inclusive, robust, and unique combination of residential options, job opportunities, schools, open spaces, street activity, business, and retail connected by an accessible, balanced, multi-modal transportation network with a variety of options to move from one destination to the next”.¹ This placetype, only found in Downtown and Uptown, encompasses the historic downtown, central business district, the financial district, and civic center which is home to major employers and corporate headquarters.

This centrally located hub serves as the most concentrated regional, commercial, tourism, and activity center, supported by high-density housing and ringed by a vibrant collection of historic landmarks and cultural neighborhoods. Ground floor windows of the numerous tall buildings in the City Center provide for visual interest and views into active storefronts. The streetscape incorporates trees for shade, wide sidewalks, easy-to-use signage, and wayfinding for locating the City Center's numerous destinations and points of interest. Civic and open spaces are featured throughout the City Center and provide an inviting atmosphere for pedestrians and a diversity of uses, generating activity throughout the day and evening.


LOCAL EXAMPLES

1. DOWNTOWN


2. UPTOWN

THEME CONNECTIONS

● PRIMARY USE
○ SECONDARY USE



FUTURE LAND USE MIX





INSTITUTIONAL (IC)

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

The Institutional Campus placetype is home to various areas throughout Dallas where large master-planned educational, institutional, and business facilities primarily exist. Development in this placetype is typically more intense than surrounding areas with land uses focused in critical areas that support the area's anchor institution.

The Institutional Campus placetype hosts numerous epicenters of employment, providing jobs for the greater Dallas-Fort Worth region.

Multimodal connectivity and public transit access are vital to the success of this placetype. As an anchor for regional economic activity, public edges of master-planned campuses within this placetype should provide an inviting public realm and gateway features that invite visitors into the campus. Major roadways in these areas should also feature streetscaping and wayfinding that help visually unify the district's many users and support a unique sense of place.

A. PLACETYPE APPLICATION

IC A-1

The Institutional Campus placetype's success is built on its strong association with regional-serving institutions and their integration into the broader community.

IC A-2

These institutional growth areas should be supported with needed infrastructure and corridor improvements to facilitate traffic flow, multimodal connectivity, and quality streetscaping.

IC A-3

Where, possible, development should be designed to maximize the use of existing and planned DART station locations with transit-oriented development complementing anchor institutions. Anchors are typically established first with complementary uses following.

IC A-4

New or revitalized campus areas should be walkable and context-sensitive at the street level to surrounding neighborhoods.

IC A-5

In established districts, reinvestment will require thoughtful and targeted planning and community engagement to ensure that anchor institutions have the space to prosper while also respecting the health of the businesses and residents that have grown around them.

IC A-6

Where possible, new development within the same district should utilize facades with similar or complementary architectural styles to provide a cohesive sense of identity.

FUTURE LAND USE MIX

PRIMARY USE
 SECONDARY USE

	Lodging
	Commercial
	Office
	Civic/Public Institutional
	Utility
	Light Industrial
	Heavy Industrial
	Apartments
	Mixed-Use

INSTITUTIONAL CAMPUS (IC)

WORKING DRAFT
PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY



B. ADJACENCIES

ICB-1

In growth areas, institutional campuses should be designed to include context-specific landscaped buffers or recreation fields to serve as transition areas to adjacent neighborhoods.

ICB-2

Intense office and institutional development should be focused in nodes, with less intense housing and complementary uses along the edge.

ICB-3

Different site-planning strategies should mitigate visual and operational impacts from institutional uses in neighborhoods.

ICB-4

Provide enhanced open spaces along the edges of the campus and neighborhood-scaled buildings adjacent to residential areas.

GREEN + OPEN SPACE

Locate institutional anchors development along bus routes and established mobility hubs to maximize transit connectivity.

ICB-1

Prioritize pedestrian, bicycle, and transit safety at intersections, while balancing pedestrian needs with traffic flow.

ICB-2

Incorporate street trees and landscaped areas, planting strip between the curb and sidewalk, and enhanced sidewalks.

ICB-3

Implement gateway features, including district branding elements, at key entry points to enhance the district's sense of place.

ICB-4

Prioritize pedestrian and bicycle amenities along the surrounding streets.

STREETSCAPE + PARKING

Utilize on-site landscaping and parking lot design to screen parking and service areas to create an inviting pedestrian environment.

ICB-1

Locate parking areas and associated driveways away from nearby neighborhoods and discourage site design that places parking areas along roadway frontages.

ICB-2

Parking facilities should minimize curb cuts, particularly in pedestrian-oriented areas.

ICB-3

Surface parking between buildings and the sidewalk or street should be limited. Safe and complete pedestrian paths should be provided from the parking areas to building entrances when it occurs.

URBAN DESIGN ELEMENTS + STRATEGIES (CONT.)

Establish mixed-use and commercial development at key intersections to serve institutional users and local residents.

ICB-1

Building heights are lower in locations abutting residential areas.

ICB-2

Buildings on less intensely developed Campuses are typically located away from the sidewalk, and lawns; and open spaces may be found between buildings and streets.

ICB-3

More intensely developed institutional Campuses have buildings and open spaces that line street frontages, providing an urban edge, while lawns and open spaces typically line the streets of less intensely developed Campuses and side and rear setback are typically larger, reflecting the dispersed nature of the development.

THEME CONNECTIONS


Outdoor seating or usable open spaces are located between the face of buildings and the sidewalks of more intensely developed institutional Campuses, and positively contribute to a lively streetscape and attractive public realm.

ICB-1

The preferred block length is ideally 500 feet and block lengths ideally do not exceed 650 feet.

ICB-2

CHAPTER 3 | PLACETYPES





FLEX COMMERCIAL (FC)

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

The Flex Commercial placetype plays a role in signaling and initiating the transition from heavier industrial uses to more compatible and less impactful land uses surrounding residential communities and environmental resources. This placetype is a mixture of general commercial, employment centers, and supporting smaller-scaled, light industrial uses. Limited residential and retail areas may complement the employment focus of the area. Further incompatible industrial proximities to residential should not occur within this placetype, and as new development occurs, residential proximity should be given priority and new development should address existing incompatibilities.

Buildings within the Flex Commercial placetype should be designed intentionally and built to be versatile to accommodate a mix of uses at one time or as uses transition from another, including office, research, athletic spaces, small warehouses, and light production.

A limited number of live/work units may also be accommodated within this placetype to meet the rise in the need for affordable, flexible spaces for artists, artisans and creative manufacturing. New buildings and enhancements to existing buildings should have an increased emphasis on how buildings interact with public right-of-way, incorporating a more pedestrian-friendly environment that includes quality landscaping, connected sidewalks and amenities such as benches, shade structures, and street trees. Reinvestment is encouraged to repurpose existing buildings and maximize the use of existing infrastructure.

Future smaller-scaled community planning efforts in this placetype should look to develop a clear vision for what their communities aspire to be as some areas transition away from legacy uses.

This placetype is characterized by adaptively reusing single-use structures that are transitioning to vertically integrated uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment.

LOCAL EXAMPLES

1. PLANO ROAD CORRIDOR
2. REINHARDT
3. I-45 CORRIDOR



THEME CONNECTIONS



Changes to areas within Flex Commercial districts should be incremental and sensitive to the existing context, and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

FC A-3



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-2



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-1




Redevelopment and building retrofits should be used to mitigate the negative environmental and public health impacts of legacy industrial development and provide high-quality jobs in a healthy environment.



CITY OF DALLAS

This placetype is characterized by adaptively reusing single-use structures that are transitioning to vertically integrated uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment.

FC A-4



Changes to areas within Flex Commercial districts should be incremental and sensitive to the existing context, and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

FC A-3



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-2



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.


FC A-1



Redevelopment and building retrofits should be used to mitigate the negative environmental and public health impacts of legacy industrial development and provide high-quality jobs in a healthy environment.

This placetype is characterized by adaptively reusing single-use structures that are transitioning to vertically integrated uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment.

FC A-4



Changes to areas within Flex Commercial districts should be incremental and sensitive to the existing context, and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

FC A-3



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-2



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.


FC A-1



Redevelopment and building retrofits should be used to mitigate the negative environmental and public health impacts of legacy industrial development and provide high-quality jobs in a healthy environment.

This placetype is characterized by adaptively reusing single-use structures that are transitioning to vertically integrated uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment.

FC A-4



Changes to areas within Flex Commercial districts should be incremental and sensitive to the existing context, and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

FC A-3



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-2



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.


FC A-1



Redevelopment and building retrofits should be used to mitigate the negative environmental and public health impacts of legacy industrial development and provide high-quality jobs in a healthy environment.

This placetype is characterized by adaptively reusing single-use structures that are transitioning to vertically integrated uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment.

FC A-4



Changes to areas within Flex Commercial districts should be incremental and sensitive to the existing context, and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

FC A-3



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-2



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.


FC A-1



Redevelopment and building retrofits should be used to mitigate the negative environmental and public health impacts of legacy industrial development and provide high-quality jobs in a healthy environment.

This placetype is characterized by adaptively reusing single-use structures that are transitioning to vertically integrated uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment.

FC A-4



Changes to areas within Flex Commercial districts should be incremental and sensitive to the existing context, and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

FC A-3



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-2



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.


FC A-1



Redevelopment and building retrofits should be used to mitigate the negative environmental and public health impacts of legacy industrial development and provide high-quality jobs in a healthy environment.

This placetype is characterized by adaptively reusing single-use structures that are transitioning to vertically integrated uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment.

FC A-4



Changes to areas within Flex Commercial districts should be incremental and sensitive to the existing context, and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

FC A-3



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-2



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-1



Redevelopment and building retrofits should be used to mitigate the negative environmental and public health impacts of legacy industrial development and provide high-quality jobs in a healthy environment.

This placetype is characterized by adaptively reusing single-use structures that are transitioning to vertically integrated uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment.

FC A-4



Changes to areas within Flex Commercial districts should be incremental and sensitive to the existing context, and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

FC A-3



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-2



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.


FC A-1



Redevelopment and building retrofits should be used to mitigate the negative environmental and public health impacts of legacy industrial development and provide high-quality jobs in a healthy environment.

This placetype is characterized by adaptively reusing single-use structures that are transitioning to vertically integrated uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment.

FC A-4



Changes to areas within Flex Commercial districts should be incremental and sensitive to the existing context, and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

FC A-3



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.

FC A-2



Reinvestment should include enhancements to parking areas and streetscape, such as added landscaping, street trees, and connections to sidewalks and internal site pathways.


FC A-1



Redevelopment and building retrofits should be used to mitigate the negative environmental and public health impacts of legacy industrial development and provide high-quality jobs in a healthy environment.

This placetype is characterized by adaptively reusing single-use structures that are transitioning to vertically integrated uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment.

FC A-4



Changes to areas within Flex Commercial districts should be incremental and sensitive to the existing context, and include inclusive community engagement efforts.

FC A-3





LOGISTICS / INDUSTRIAL PARK (LIP)

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

The Logistics/Industrial Park placetype consists of areas identified for wholesale, large distribution areas, and storage uses focused on production and employment. Logistics/Industrial Park areas represent significant employment assets providing space for innovation, employment, and the potential for upward mobility for Dallas' skilled workers. Clean and more sustainable practices that reduce adverse environmental impacts on human health and wildlife will ensure the viability of the Logistics/Industrial Park placetype and augment its beneficial economic role.

The efficient movement of freight through Dallas to the rest of the country is crucial to the success of the Logistics/Industrial Park placetype.

These areas generally comprise large, contiguous parcels that are often self-contained. Access to major roadways, freeways, freight rail, and airports should be prioritized. To accommodate freight traffic and parking for employees, buildings within the Logistics/Industrial Park placetype have large surface parking areas for cars and trucks as well as wider streets and intersections. Roadway and access planning is critical to the future of this land use given the increased truck traffic that results from these uses. Public transportation, sidewalks, and trail connections focus on connecting employees to employee and customer entrances and parking and drop-off areas.

A. PLACETYPE APPLICATION

LIP A-1


The Logistics/Industrial Park placetype should accommodate Dallas' innovative and cutting-edge sustainable economic models that promote a green economy.

LIP A-2

These developments will focus on light industrial uses, including clean manufacturing centers, technology centers, and biotech facilities.



CITY OF DALLAS



CITY OF DALLAS

LOCAL EXAMPLES

1. SOUTHPORT (SOUTH DALLAS INLAND PORT)
2. AGILE PORT
3. WESTDALLAS INDUSTRIAL PARK
4. ZACHA JUNCTION

THEME CONNECTIONS



PRIMARY USE

SECONDARY USE



Lodging




Commercial



Office



Civic/Public Institutional



Utility



Light Industrial



Heavy Industrial

FUTURE LAND USE MIX



LOGISTICS/ INDUSTRIAL PARK (LP)



Heavy transportation and infrastructure systems are critical to the movement of goods which power the economy.¹ Properly aligning logistics-oriented landuses to these transportation networks, while also preventing encroachment into residential communities, is key to locating Logistics/Industrial Parks throughout the city.

1. ForwardDallas Existing Conditions Report



LOGISTICS

INDUSTRIAL PARK

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

MOBILITY + ACCESS

- IP B-1** When an industrial facility includes a structure that requires increased height, the structure is located so that it does not significantly visually or physically impact nearby residential areas.
- IP B-2** As reinvestment occurs in Logistics/Industrial Park areas, addressing adverse environmental effects generated by sources of pollution, particularly those impacting disadvantaged communities, should be prioritized and no new incompatible proximities should occur.
- IP B-3** If any new, light industrial uses in this placytype are considered adjacent to residential areas, at minimum, they should be low impact, small-scaled, self contained to the interior of the buildings, and not create any additional incompatibilities.
- 1** Locate logistics and industrial park uses along truck routes designed for anticipated capacity and divert traffic away from residential neighborhoods.
- 2** Loading areas and loading docks should be located away from primary street facades and pedestrian routes.
- 3** Public transportation and sidewalks and trail connections are focused on connecting employees to employee and customer entrances and parking and drop-off areas.
- 4** Integrate paths and outdoor recreation areas that are used as amenities by employees
- 5** Integrate green infrastructure, for example, tree boxes, permeable pavement, and green roofs to reduce urban flooding and heat island effects

GREEN + OPEN SPACE

- 6** Use landscaped buffers to screen loading and service areas from view and limit impacts on adjacent development and uses.
- 7** Permeable and environmentally sensitive materials that do not contribute to stormwater or air quality issues should be utilized when feasible.
- 8** Large vehicle parking should be located to the side and rear of buildings, when possible and not abutting residential neighborhoods.
- 9** Parking lots in front of buildings provide a clear pedestrian path between the public sidewalk and building entrances.
- 10** Loading docks and vehicle storage are located to the side or rear of buildings and screened from streets.
- 11** Site design should incorporate adequate parking and queuing space on-site.

BUILDING FORM + CHARACTER

- 12** Encourage commercial development within industrial areas to provide supportive services to local employees and residents.
- 13** Implement gateway features, including district branding elements, at key points of entry to enhance the district's sense of place.
- 14** Orient buildings with more intense industrial uses internal to the site, away from less intense uses and placytypes.
- 15** Outdoor storage areas should be appropriately screened, particularly at the edges of the placytype.
- 16** The preferred block length is ideally 800 feet and block lengths ideally do not exceed 1,500 feet. The longer block lengths help accommodate larger industrial buildings as necessary.
- 17** In some cases, blocks might be longer because specific site conditions make new streets and street connections infeasible. These conditions include topography, natural barriers such as creeks and streams, and other barriers such as freeways and railroad lines.

- ADJACENCIES**
- URBAN DESIGN ELEMENTS + STRATEGIES (CONT.)**

- LAND USE TYPICAL SECTION**
- PLACETYPES | CHAPTER 3**

- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
- 8**
- 7**
- 6**

- INDUSTRIAL PARK**
- THEME CONNECTIONS**
- 9**
-



INDUSTRIAL HUB (IH)

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

The Industrial Hub placetype comprises areas identified for heavy industrial production, salvage, and storage operations. These areas, and the uses they include, should not be located in or near residential areas. Industrial uses such as asphalt batch plants, bulk processing, waste collection, and salvage facilities are contained in this placetype. The strategic and equitable location of this placetype within the city, away from proximity to neighborhoods, aims to address historic residential adjacencies while providing critical infrastructure support.

Structures and buildings within Industrial Hubs often have large footprints with significant land needs for equipment and material storage. Large surface parking areas for cars and trucks, as well as wider streets and intersections, should be thoughtfully designed to minimally impact the environment. With the common conveyance of heavy machinery and freight traffic in this placetype, appropriately designed roadway networks, sufficient infrastructure, and access to major transportation hubs and corridors should be prioritized.



A. PLACETYPE APPLICATION

IH A1

Cleaning up contaminated sites such as Superfund and Brownfield sites is a priority implementation action to be followed up by local community-led redevelopment and capital project prioritization.

IH A2

Despite existing challenges and current conditions, the Industrial Hub placetype has the potential to accommodate Dallas' innovative and cutting-edge sustainable economic models that promote a green economy.

IH A3

Enhance areas designated as Industrial Hubs, ensuring they evolve into healthy environments with quality job opportunities while safeguarding communities from adverse environmental hazards.

CHARACTER DESCRIPTION

The Industrial Hub placetype comprises areas identified for heavy industrial production, salvage, and storage operations. These areas, and the uses they include, should not be located in or near residential areas. Industrial uses such as asphalt batch plants, bulk processing, waste collection, and salvage facilities are contained in this placetype. The strategic and equitable location of this placetype within the city, away from proximity to neighborhoods, aims to address historic residential adjacencies while providing critical infrastructure support.

Structures and buildings within Industrial Hubs often have large footprints with significant land needs for equipment and material storage. Large surface parking areas for cars and trucks, as well as wider streets and intersections, should be thoughtfully designed to minimally impact the environment. With the common conveyance of heavy machinery and freight traffic in this placetype, appropriately designed roadway networks, sufficient infrastructure, and access to major transportation hubs and corridors should be prioritized.


LOCAL EXAMPLES

1. MCCOMMAS LANDFILL
2. CALIFORNIA CROSSING


THEME CONNECTIONS



● PRIMARY USE
○ SECONDARY USE



FUTURE LAND USE MIX



WORKING DRAFT

PLACETYPES | CHAPTER 3

CITY OF DALLAS FUTURE PLACETYPE MAP

CITY OF DALLAS

WORKING DRAFT
PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

CHAPTER 3 | PROTEIN ACETYPES

**Interactive
High-Resolution
Map Link** **CLICK HERE**




Figure 2: Future Baccalaureate Chart

Figure 1: Future Placetype Map 1. A comprehensive plan shall not constitute zoning regulations or establish zoning boundaries. *Town Local Control Act Code section 210.005*

land use map provides general graphic intent; ext provides more detail. The land use map intended to be used in concert with the written text.

Figure 1: Future Placetype Map 1. A comprehensive plan shall not constitute zoning regulations or establish zoning district boundaries. Town Local Government Code, section 242.005.



SECTION REVISIONS

[01] **Member:** Stewart **Comment:** Define secondary use as: "A less prevalent use that may serve to support or complement the primary land use in a placetype, but often requires justification, higher scrutiny, and adherence with the locational strategy" **Page:** 3-4

[02] **Member:** Bazaldua **Comment:** Matrix - From 9/3 presentation: Move Triplexes to secondary uses in the Community Residential and Small Town Residential placetypes **Page:** 3-4

[03] **Member:** Stewart **Comment:** Add footnote "The land use matrix is not intended to be a zoning use matrix" **Page:** 3-5

[04] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Modify Community Residential Character Description as follows:

The Community Residential placetype represents a major mainstay of Dallas. The quality of life in Dallas is reflected in the quality of its neighborhoods. Neighborhoods in this placetype are celebrated for the resilience, value, and stability they add to the city. This plan is designed to protect and support the vital foundation of our existing neighborhoods, promote new, walkable neighborhoods, and encourage support for neighborhoods in need of revitalization. It is vital that in stable neighborhoods, the City, developers, and the local community work together on any proposed changes to bring about quality, sustainable and equitable development that complements the existing context. **Page:** 3-14

[05] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Delete last sentence of Character description last paragraph "Adding new housing types to both contexts is appropriate, provided they are sensitive to existing scale, design, and stability of the neighborhood." **Page:** 3-14

SECTION REVISIONS (CONT.)

[06] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Add to end of CR Description - Improving opportunities for more ownership is also important within this placetype. Dallas has a high percentage of rental housing, especially concentrated in large apartment complexes. Based on public research and community workshops, there is an unmet demand for more homeownership, including traditional single-family homes, townhouses, duplexes and condominiums. **Page:** 3-14

[07] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Create a section entitled "Neighborhood Preservation and Stability" with language from 2006: • Forward Dallas recognizes that it is imperative to maintain, stabilize and revitalize existing neighborhoods. • Encouraging the creation of new neighborhoods that are safe, pedestrian friendly, and provide diverse housing opportunities is one of the City's key economic development priorities. • Dallas has a strong tradition of neighborhood self-determination, which should be promoted to ensure the continued vitality of all neighborhoods. • Support efforts to improve and stabilize neighborhoods. **Page:** 3-14

[08] **Member:** Stewart **Comment:** Community Engagement- (add to Neighborhood Preservation and Stability section proposed by CM Ridley) - Changes to areas within Community Residential neighborhoods must prioritize community engagement. The community engagement should be initiated early in the process that is bringing about the change, and should be given significant weight in the decision making that occurs within this process. **Page:** 3-14

[09] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Add at end of CR A-4 "incompatible multiplex, townhome, duplex, triplex and apartment development should be located outside of existing single-family neighborhoods." **Page:** 3-15



SECTION REVISIONS (CONT.)

[10] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** When development of different housing types is proposed, location should be an important consideration, along with community feedback, surrounding context, and existing infrastructure and amenities. Incompatible rezoning of individual single-family lots, especially mid-block, is generally discouraged. Properties near transit stations and along commercial corridors, transition areas between non-residential and existing residential areas, former civic/institutional properties, and underutilized shopping centers should be considered for adding these alternative housing types. Tearing down existing housing for replacement and incompatible infill is not encouraged by this plan.

Existing housing stock should be preserved and retained whenever possible to maintain stable neighborhoods and minimize the displacement of existing residents, particularly in areas identified as high risk for displacement. **Page:** 3-16

[11] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Revise SF Urban Design Element 20- to: "Density in the form of multiplexes should be prioritized along arterials, not neighborhood streets." **Page:** 3-17

[12] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Insert new urban design element 22- Provide visual buffers between single family uses and other more intense adjoining uses. **Page:** 3-17

[13] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Revise CCA-1 by replacing "maximized to expand" with "utilized to achieve." **Page:** 3-35

[14] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Land Use Map- Add footnote "The land use map provides general graphic intent; the text provides more detail. The land use map is intended to be used in concert with the written text. " **Page:** 3-54



CHAPTER 4

IMPLEMENTATION



OVERVIEW

Comprehensive Plans are future-oriented guidance documents that lay out short, medium and long-range recommendations to incrementally advance plan goals and objectives.

The planning process does not end upon adoption of this plan. The implementation matrices in this section provide action steps by plan theme that can be accomplished during the plan horizon. The action items vary and include regulatory changes, process updates, infrastructure improvements, future studies and plans, and policy changes.

The key to achieving the majority of the recommendations within this section is continued collaboration between various internal and external partners and annual monitoring to ensure accountability and relevancy.

SHORT TERM ACTION MATRIX



The following tables identify short-term action items needed to implement the Plan's land use themes within the next one to five years. The table includes recommendations organized by goal, objective, suggested action steps, designated lead, key partner(s), and related cross-theme connections, if applicable.



General + Development Process Table

Objective	#	Action Step	Lead	Key Partners**	Related Themes
Provide updates on plan progress.	1	Develop key performance indicators six (6) months after plan adoption for all theme metrics identified in plan document, with an anticipated mid-year check-in or report to CPC or Council.	PDD	All key partners from subsequent themes.	
- Improve notification and engagement in zoning cases.	2	Develop a comprehensive city-wide community engagement strategy to ensure that all impacted residents including renters are appropriately notified and engaged in neighborhood planning and development projects.	PDD	HOU, OED	

METRICS + MONITORING

THEME CONNECTIONS:

- Environmental Justice + Sustainability
- TOD+ Connectivity
- Housing Choice + Access
- Economic Development + Revitalization
- Community + Urban Design

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

CHAPTER 4 | IMPLEMENTATION



Theme Goal: Actively and equitably protect communities from the effects of environmental hazards, while enhancing environmental quality through proactive protection, conservation, and sustainable practices in both natural and built environments.

CITY OF DALLAS

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

CHAPTER 4 | CHAPTER 4

General Notes:

* = Definition of ForwardDallas "Areas of Focus" can be found in the Glossary

** = Key Partners also include applicable community partners, including neighborhood based groups, non profit organizations, and advocacy groups, not shown on this list.

Environmental Justice + Sustainability Implementation Table

Objective	#	Action Step	Lead	Key Partners**	Related Themes
	5	Develop a comprehensive land use strategy that addresses EJ issues and goals identified in the city's Comprehensive Environmental and Climate Action Plan (CECAP) and prioritize authorized hearings in areas with EJ concerns.	PDD	OEQS, Env Commission, EJ Cmte, OEI	
A Create and Support Citywide Environmental Justice (EJ) Goals.	1	Coordinate with city departments, local agencies, communities impacted by environmental justice issues, environmental justice organizations, and other appropriate experts to identify issues and help gather and track data to address EJ issues.	OEQS	OEQS, Env Commission, EJ Cmte, OEI, DART	Mitigate Negative Environmental Impacts from New Development.
	2	Utilize the ForwardDallas Environmental Justice Areas of Focus* analysis to tailor zoning implementation, neighborhood-planning efforts, and other investments for identified EJ areas.	PDD	OEQS, OEI	(Continued)
B Mitigate Negative Environmental Impacts from New Development.	3	Consider the development of additional tools to address incompatible industrial uses in light of recent state law modifications to the city's amortization ordinance.	PDD	OEQS, PDD	
	4	Prioritize environmental reviews in the development-review process, for projects within EJ and EPA focus areas that are also contributors of urban-heat-island effect, excessive storm-water runoff, and poor air and water quality.	OEQS, DWU	PDD	
B Mitigate Negative Environmental Impacts from New Development.	5	Create an EJ review tool in collaboration with community partners to consider EJ impacts in zoning cases heard at CPC	OEQS, Env Commission, EJ Cmte, OEI	PDD	
	6	Update the development code to review and increase the distance requirements between industrial land uses from sensitive land uses such as schools, homes, churches.	OEQS, Env Commission, EJ Cmte, OEI	PDD	
B Mitigate Negative Environmental Impacts from New Development.	7	Update the Development Code to reduce the percentage of impervious surface areas, where appropriate.	TPW, DWU	TPW; PDD, OEQS, 3	
	8	Coordinate with TPW and DWU as updates to the existing Street Design Manual and Drainage Design Manual occur to support the alignment with CECAP Air Quality and Water Management / Quality Target Goals.	TPW; DWU	PDD	
B Mitigate Negative Environmental Impacts from New Development.	9	Update Development Code and Article X, to incorporate green-infrastructure practices into land use planning and development, such as rain gardens, native planting, green roofs, permeable pavements, bioswales, and vegetated swales, providing incentives where possible.	TPW	PDD, OEQS, PKR, DWU	
	10	Inventory underutilized, city-owned land, surplus rights-of-way, and vacant properties for opportunities of repurposing into environmentally protective land uses such as programmed green spaces, urban agriculture, and opportunities for urban-wildlife protection.	TPW	PDD, HOU, DWU, OEQS	
C Support the Environmental Protection of Key Natural Resources	11	Low Impact Development Strategies that encourage compact, mixed-land-use patterns that minimize negative environmental impacts, such as requiring more tree plantings and greenspace on large-yard industrial and heavy commercial sites to lessen heat-island effect in these areas.	TPW	PDD, DWU, OEQS	
	12	Coordinate with partner agencies and departments to incentivize the design and development of Brownfield sites.	OEQS	PDD	
C Support the Environmental Protection of Key Natural Resources	13	Monitor commercial activities emitting air pollution throughout the city and conduct inspections to advance EJ Goals	OEQS	PDD	
	14	Update the Industrial Zoning classifications in the Development Code where applicable to differentiate between warehouse types and sizes and explore options for incorporating performance standards into the zones.	PDD	OEQS	
C Support the Environmental Protection of Key Natural Resources	15	Update Development Code & Article X to prioritize the protection of mature trees, the city's tree-canopy coverage, provisions for canopy removal, reduced mitigation costs, and when replanting to encourage native planting of drought-tolerant tree and plant species, reducing artificial-irrigation dependencies.	PDD	Texas Trees Foundation; DWU, PBW, PKR	
	16	Explore the creation of a Watershed District Overlay to help mitigate existing and projected stormwater impacts from new development.	DWU	PDD, NCTCOG	
C Support the Environmental Protection of Key Natural Resources	17	Support the development of an Environmental Justice Areas of Focus* to protect Environmentally Sensitive Areas, including the 100-year floodplain, creeks, areas with mature tree canopies, the Escarpment, and other water bodies.	TPW, DWU, OEQS,	TPW, DWU, OEQS	
	18	Inventory underutilized, city-owned land, surplus rights-of-way, and vacant properties for opportunities of repurposing into environmentally protective land uses such as programmed green spaces, urban agriculture, and opportunities for urban-wildlife protection.	TPW, DWU, OEQS	TPW, Real Estate	

METRICS + MONITORING | Decrease % of industrial uses/zones near residential uses/zones.

- Environmental Justice + Sustainability
- TOD + Connectivity
- Economic Development + Revitalization
- Community + Urban Design

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY



TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT (TOD) + CONNECTIVITY

Theme Goal: Advance safe, compact, and walkable mixed-use development around DART stations and other transportation nodes to further increase accessible connectivity to housing, job opportunities, and neighborhood amenities for all residents.

General Notes:

* = Definition of ForwardDallas "Areas of Focus" can be found in the Glossary
** = Key Partners also include applicable community partners, including neighborhood based groups, non profit organizations, and advocacy groups, not shown on this list.

Transit-Oriented Development + Connectivity Implementation Table

Objective	#	Action Step	Lead	Key Partners**	Related Themes
A Encourage more Housing, Employment, Services and Amenities around Transit Stations.	1	Prioritize appropriate increased density and zoning around DART stations, high-frequency transit nodes, commercial and mixed-use complete streets corridors, trails, neighborhood centers, and potential ForwardDallas TOD Areas of Focus.	PDD	DART, HOU, OED, TPW	8
	2	Right-size and reduce parking regulations within parking-code amendments to allow increased development opportunity for TOD projects and investigate integrating such reductions in exchange for additional affordable housing units and increased green space within these projects.	PDD	TPW, DART	5
	3	Explore potential development-code amendments that would further incentivize equitable development at transit stations including allowing density bonuses if affordable housing is provided, minimizing business displacement by including existing businesses in redevelopment opportunities, designing future spaces to minimize impact on, for example, lower density areas, and providing enhanced public and green spaces to support both internal and surrounding development.	PDD	TPW, DART	
	4	Explore creating a TOD-overlay zone with development regulations that support transit ridership, equitable mixed-use development, mixed housing options, and a vibrant pedestrian environment within 1/2 mile around the station.	PDD	TPW, DART	
	5	Incorporate comprehensive TOD Design guidance within the future citywide urban design framework to emphasize safe access, site-design excellence, enhanced connectivity, and high-quality public spaces.	PDD	DART, TPW, NCTCOG, TXDOT	1 3 7 8 8
	6	Utilize an accessibility analysis to equitably develop corridor and station area plans that prioritize areas with poor access to essential services.	PDD	DART, TPW, NCTCOG, TXDOT	
	7	Support assessments of existing transit infrastructure, exploring multi-modal options for last-mile connections to essential land uses and community services.	PDD	DART, TPW	
	8	Initiate a Thoroughfare and Freight Master Plan Update that aligns future placetypes, Dallas' Complete Street typologies, and urban design guidelines.	TPW	PDD	1
	9	Coordinate with DART on future ROW changes that support or affect transit service, including but not limited to creating a dedicated process for requesting the removal of parking meters.	TPW	DART, NCTCOG, TXDOT, PDD	3 7 8 8
	10	As neighborhood, corridor, and station area plans are developed, prioritize assessments of the land use mix and available infrastructure in TOD areas of focus to improve linkages to employment, education, parks, food, and health services.	PDD	TPW, OED	
	11	Establish place-specific criteria for "Complete Communities" to provide safe, convenient, and equitable proximity to daily goods and services.	PDD	HOU, OED, DART, TPW	
	12	Coordinate and build out city's bike and trail networks.	PKR, TPW	PKR	
	13	Provide last-mile transit options like scooters and e-bikes in all areas of the city during times that residents need them.	TPW	DART, PDD	
C Promote a Multi-modal Transportation Network that is Highly Accessible and Well-connected.					

METRICS + MONITORING | Increase % of land use mix within TOD centers

THEME CONNECTIONS: Environmental Justice + Sustainability TOD + Connectivity Housing Choice + Access Economic Development + Revitalization Community + Urban Design

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY



Theme Goal: Equitably increase attainable housing options throughout the city, particularly near job centers and transit-oriented locations, to meet the diverse housing needs of all people in Dallas.

Housing Choice + Access Implementation Table

Objective	#	Action Step	Lead	Key Partners**	Related Themes
A Provide a Mix of Housing Types and Affordabilities Across All Neighborhoods to Meet Diverse Needs.	03	To ensure that different housing types—that may be added into neighborhoods—are compatible with existing homes; Consider implementing design standards into the Development Code and strengthening the Neighborhood Stabilization Overlay ordinance, to address, among other things including: height, roof design, garage/parking placement, impervious surfaces, lot coverage and maximum lot size, location of front door(s), and setbacks. (Note: In some instances, design standards for different housing types may need to be more stringent than those imposed on the established housing types) in a neighborhood. For example, consider requiring parking at units, create new districts for tiny homes and cottage courts, incorporating design standards to ensure neighborhood compatibility incorporate design standards to ensure neighborhood compatibility	PDD	HOU, OED, DCC, DFP, SAN	
1	04	Explore updating development code to allow Accessory Dwelling Units, create new districts for tiny homes and cottage courts, incorporating design standards to ensure neighborhood compatibility	PDD	ZOAC, CPC	
2	05	Explore the creation of a new residential zoning district that includes built-in design standards such as setbacks, garage placement, roof types, etc to allow appropriately scaled urban housing.	PDD	HOU	
3	06	Promote diverse and affordable mix of housing types within neighborhoods, especially along TOD sites, to provide housing choices.	PDD	HOU, OED	
B Prioritize Housing Investments for the Most Vulnerable Populations, Especially the Unhoused and those at High Risk of Displacement	07	Pilot targeted incentives, such as expedited rezoning and permitting applications, when developing alternative owner-occupied housing, such as co-ops, condos, and Tenancy in Common (TIC) agreements	PDD	HOU, OED	
4	08	Increase partnerships with Community Development Corporations (CDC) and Community Land Trusts (CLT) to provide affordable housing by removing land cost from housing prices.	HOU	OED, PDD	
5	09	Explore updating the standards for Manufactured Housing as part of the Development Code Update.	PDD	HOU	
6	10	Prioritize the development of a displacement-mitigation overlay for use in areas facing significant risk of residential displacement, as identified through appropriate metrics. In contrast to the Neighborhood Stabilization Overlay, which often takes significant time to implement because it is highly customizable, the potential displacement-mitigation overlay should be “turnkey” and may have design standards applicable to new construction that draw from existing residential development in the area. (E.g., square footage of new development in overlay limited to 150% of avg square footage of existing residences on block)	PDD	OEI	
7	11	Consider implementing criteria that facilitate the development of different housing types, such as single-family detached and multiplexes, in locations well suited for such development, such as near transit stations and along corridors, in transition areas between non-residential and existing residential areas, and on former civic/institutional properties, and possibly on corner lots.	PDD	TPW, DART	or
8	12				
9	13				
C Align Land Use Policy & Process with Housing Strategies, Plans, and Programs	14	Identify stable areas and put strategies in place that direct incompatible growth away from these areas of stability and into areas where development can be a force for healthy change.	PDD	HOU	
10	15				
11	16				
12	17				
D Protect and Preserve Neighborhoods	18	Develop an integrated housing policy that provides an expedited rezoning and permitting process, housing pattern books for different housing types, and pre-vetted and approved housing plans.	PDD	HOU	
13	19	Establish urban design guidelines for the city's Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) procurement, selection, and review process for multifamily, single family, and other residential projects.	PDD	HOU	
14	20	Provide land-use-and-zoning-data-to-support-city-recommended changes to state law that remove barriers to affordable housing options.	PDD	OGA, HOU	
15	21	Update development code to allow more flexibility in subdivision and zoning regulations, such as reduced built-to-lines, setbacks and the community retail zoning classification.	PDD		
16	22				
17	23				
18	24				
19	25				
20	26				

METRICS+ MONITORING | Increase# of housing units in areas of displacement risk, TOD areas, and commercial corridors

- Environmental Justice + Sustainability
- TOD + Connectivity
- Housing Choice + Access
- Economic Development + Revitalization

General Notes:

* = Definition of ForwardDallas “Areas of Focus” can be found in the Glossary
** = Key Partners also include applicable community partners, including neighborhood based groups, non profit organizations, and advocacy groups, not shown on this list.

Housing Choice + Access Implementation Table

Objective	#	Action Step	Lead	Key Partners**	Related Themes
B Prioritize Housing Investments for the Most Vulnerable Populations, Especially the Unhoused and those at High Risk of Displacement	10	Work with city departments to identify and plan for areas in which surplus city and other public agency-owned land is purchased for the development of affordable housing to address gentrification, homelessness, and displacement.	Real Estate	HOU, OHS, OED, PDD	
11	11	Encourage the addition of diverse housing types within land controlled or owned by the city or other public agencies.	HOU	PDD, Real Estate	
12	12	Incorporate displacement-risk assessments and community discussions as part of future smaller area planning efforts.	PDD	HOU	
13	13	Prioritize the preservation and planning of neighborhoods identified most at risk of displacement through city-initiated rezoning efforts including conservation districts, neighborhood stabilization overlays, historic districts, and other yet-to-be-established tools.	PDD	HOU	
14	14	Partner with housing agencies and advocates to create a more expansive anti-displacement toolkit.	HOU	PDD, OED	
15	15	Explore creating incentives available to owners of older, market-rate multiple unit rental properties, such as garden apartments, to maintain and improve their properties while preserving affordability of their existing units and avoiding displacement of residents.	HOU	PDD, OED	
C Align Land Use Policy & Process with Housing Strategies, Plans, and Programs	16	Prioritize the development of a housing density bonus program to ensure that new “missing middle” housing units (including townhomes, duplexes and triplexes, etc.) are appropriately priced for low and moderate income families.	PDD, HOU	OED	
17	17	Streamline the development review and rezoning process for affordable housing projects.	PDD	HOU	
18	18	Develop an integrated housing policy that provides an expedited rezoning and permitting process, housing pattern books for different housing types, and pre-vetted and approved housing plans.	PDD	HOU	
19	19	Establish urban design guidelines for the city's Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) procurement, selection, and review process for multifamily, single family, and other residential projects.	PDD	HOU	
20	20	Provide land-use-and-zoning-data-to-support-city-recommended changes to state law that remove barriers to affordable housing options.	PDD	OGA, HOU	

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

CHAPTER 4 | IMPLEMENTATION

CITY OF DALLAS



Theme Goal: Promote equitable development of Dallas' diverse communities across the city, through the revitalization of neighborhood centers, commercial corridors, employment centers, and transit areas.

General Notes:

- * = Definition of ForwardDallas "Areas of Focus" can be found in the Glossary
- ** = Key Partners also include applicable community partners, including neighborhood based groups, non profit organizations, and advocacy groups, not shown on this list.

Economic Development & Revitalization Implementation Table

Objective		#	Action Step	Lead	Key Partners**	Related Themes
A Implement "Transformative Placemaking" Strategies to Revitalize Commercial Corridors, Transit Nodes, and Employment Centers	1	In coordination with DART's strategic plan, identify surplus or vacant land in key areas, particularly in TOD areas of focus, to transform into vibrant spaces that support greater economic outcomes for those areas.	PDD	OED, DDI, OAC, TPW, COD EDC	12	
	2	Facilitate collaborative-placemaking initiatives to reimagine the adaptive reuse of historically and culturally significant structures and places.	PDD	OED, OAC, Historic Preservation	10, 11	
	3	Initiate detailed land use and zoning planning assessments of commercial corridors and centers identified through ForwardDallas to outline specific opportunities and strategies for revitalization.	PDD	OED, TPW, DART, HOU	5, 8	
	4	Incentivize projects near TOD sites to conform to urban design standards specified within the Complete Streets Manual	OED	TPW		
B Prioritize Equitable Growth by Targeting Investment in Underserved Communities.	5	Prioritize neighborhood and corridor planning efforts and/or zoning reviews in areas transitioning away from industrial uses or for former brownfield areas, utilizing updated infill zoning categories that preserve compatible industrial and commercial uses.	PDD	TPW, OEQS, OGA	1	
	6	Coordinate future land use with infrastructure investment in Southern Dallas to ensure adequate public facilities, housing, and mobility options (such as sidewalks) for existing and future businesses and their employees.	PDD	OED, TPW, PBW		
	7	Coordinate with OED to direct economic development resources to areas through ForwardDallas and other neighborhood planning and corridor efforts.	PDD	OED	5, 8	
C Foster Economically Resilient Communities That Are Regionally Connected and Locally Supported	8	Work with city departments to support investment and development in "Economic Development + Revitalization" focus areas including new TOD areas, existing commercial nodes, and Economic Development Policy Target Areas to provide a sustainable mix of employment, mixed-income housing, and services to the community.	OED	PDD, HOU, TPW	1, 2, 5	
	9	Coordinate with city departments to create and implement anti-displacement policies for small business owners and homeowners.	OED	PDD, HOU		
	10	Ensure appropriate land use and zoning in designated areas throughout the city to support emerging creative and technology industries to supplement the expansion of logistics-related jobs and targeted industry clusters.	PDD	OED		
	11	Coordinate planning and economic-development initiatives with surrounding jurisdictions to ensure mutually beneficial development, equitable cost-sharing, and integrated infrastructure investment.	PDD	OED, TPW, HOU		
D Remove Land Use and Zoning Barriers That Hinder Small Business Development	12	Investigate the reduction or removal of restrictive parking requirements that can serve as a barrier to small business project or development feasibility, while ensuring that such reductions do not adversely impact surrounding residential areas.	PDD	OED		
	13	Encourage increased development of mixed-use live/work spaces in commercial centers and corridors.	PDD	OED, HOU		

METRICS + MONITORING | Increase % of land use mix along strategic commercial corridors

THEME CONNECTIONS: Environmental Justice + Sustainability TOD + Connectivity Housing Choice + Access Economic Development + Revitalization Community + Urban Design

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

CHAPTER 4 | IMPLEMENTATION

CITY OF DALLAS

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY

IMPLEMENTATION | CHAPTER 4



Theme Goal: Adopt and implement context-sensitive design and development guidance to help shape Dallas' streets, sidewalks, buildings, and open spaces, ensuring functional, safe, sustainable, and vibrant spaces that not only reflect but also enhance Dallas' distinct places and diverse communities.

Community and Urban Design Implementation Table


	Objective	#	Action Step	Lead	Key Partners**	Related Themes
A	Establish a Citywide Urban Design Framework	1	Develop citywide urban design guidelines that build upon ForwardDallas principles and illustrate how different communities and places will grow or be preserved in the future	PDD	PKR, HOU, ECO, TPW, DART 4 7 13	
		2	Utilize the ForwardDallas urban design principles and elements as the foundation for integrating urban design standards into the development-code update.	PDD		
		3	Incorporate the future citywide urban design guidelines as a component of the development-review process including for all rezoning projects.	PDD		
		4	Expand the purview of the Urban Design Peer Review Panel (UDPRP) to include the review of urban design criteria for bond projects.	PDD		
B	Integrate Urban Design Standards and Guidance into the Development Review Process and Future Planning Efforts.	5	Provide urban design support to CECAP's recommendation to implement green-infrastructure programs that treat the Right of way (ROW) as both a mobility and green-infrastructure asset.	PDD	TPW 13	
		6	Work with Park and Recreation planning staff to increase public access from new development to parks, trails and open space including potential for accessibility standards in the development code.	PKR	PDD, TPW	
		7	Coordinate with Park and Recreation planning staff on future updates to Dallas Park and Recreation Master Plan. Include policy that increases public access to existing and future parks as it relates to land use and urban design changes over time.	PKR	PDD	
		8	Incorporate place-specific, urban design guidelines within neighborhood and corridor plans.	PDD	TPW 5 8	
		9	Work with DWU and Public Works to re-evaluate design standards for retention and detention ponds.	PDD	TPW, DWU	
		10	Explore updating the development code to require below grade parking within the City Center.	PDD		
		11	Establish a neighborhood-planning program through which community stakeholders envision, evaluate, and establish the desired vision and form of their community.	PDD	OAC, TPW, PKR 2	
		12	Incorporate a community's people, history, culture, and identity into neighborhood planning and urban design processes to sensitively shape the relationship between new and existing buildings, parks, streets, and other open spaces.	PDD		
		13	Expand the suite of context-sensitive design and preservation tools including historic and conservation districts and neighborhood stabilization overlay programs and update applicable ordinances to better respond to rapidly changing conditions in established neighborhoods.	PDD	HOU 8 9 2	
C	Promote Quality Design Principles to Foster More Inclusive and Equitable Neighborhoods and Spaces Throughout Dallas.					

METRICS+ MONITORING | Metrics to be developed in a future citywide urban design guidelines

THEME CONNECTIONS: Environmental Justice + Sustainingability TOD+ Connectivity Housing Choice + Access Economic Development + Revitalization Urban Design

General Notes:

* = Definition of ForwardDallas "Areas of Focus" can be found in the Glossary
** = Key Partners also include applicable community partners, including neighborhood based groups, non profit organizations, and advocacy groups, not shown on this list.



SECTION REVISIONS

SECTION REVISIONS (CONT.)

- [01] **Member:** Narvaez and Stewart **Comment:** Improve notification and engagement in zoning cases: Develop a comprehensive city-wide community engagement strategy to ensure that all impacted residents including renters are appropriately notified and engaged in neighborhood planning and development projects. **Page:** 4-2
- [02] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Objective A, remove “across all neighborhoods.” **Page:** 4-8
- [03] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Objective A1, remove part of first sentence, through “existing homes”. (The following to be removed: “To ensure that different housing types that may be added into neighborhoods are compatible with existing homes...” **Page:** 4-8
- [04] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Objective A2, replace with “Explore updating development code to create new districts for tiny homes and cottage courts, incorporating design standards.” **Page:** 4-8
- [05] **Member:** Bazuldua **Comment:** Objective A3, replace “infill” with “new” and “urban.” **Page:** 4-8
- [06] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Objective A4, remove “within neighborhoods, especially.” **Page:** 4-8
- [07] **Member:** Ridley **Comment:** Objective A9, remove “and possibly on corner lots.” **Page:** 4-8
- [08] **Member:** Narvaez **Comment:** Add to Housing Implementation Table: Prioritize the development of a housing density bonus program to ensure that new “missing middle” housing units (including townhomes, duplexes and triplexes, etc.) are appropriately priced to low and moderate income families. **Page:** 4-9
- [09] **Member:** Bazuldua **Comment:** Objective C17, remove “an integrated housing infill policy that provides ...” **Page:** 4-9

WORKING DRAFT

PUBLIC REVIEW ONLY



Page Intentionally Left Blank



Page Intentionally Left Blank

FORWARDDALLAS

