## SECTION 5

Transcript of the
July 1, 2024
Landmark Commission
Hearing
6205 LaVista Drive
CA234-395(CM)

1	THE CITY OF DALLAS LANDMARK COMMISSION
2	MONDAY, JULY 1, 2024
3	
4	
5	COMMISSIONERS IN ATTENDANCE:
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON
7	COMMISSIONER MONTGOMERY
8	COMMISSIONER COX
9	COMMISSIONER OFFUTT
10	COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS
11	COMMISSIONER PREZIOSI
12	COMMISSIONER FOGLEMAN
13	COMMISSIONER REAVES
14	COMMISSIONER GAY
15	COMMISSIONER SHERMAN
16	COMMISSIONER GUEST
17	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR
18	COMMISSIONER HINOJOSA
19	COMMISSIONER RENAUD
20	COMMISSIONER LIVINGSTON
21	
22	STAFF IN ATTENDANCE:
23	MARISSA HINES MARCUS WATSON
24	RHONDA DUNN ADRIAN MCCLENDON
25	CHRISTINA MANKOWSKI



## PROCEEDINGS

\* \* \*

CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Let's go to day 6.

That is mine as well.

MS. MANKOWSKI: I first wanted to answer a question Mr. Preziosi asked. Roof was replaced in 2012 with matching color and material. So, original slate -- I mean, natural slate with the same color scheme. So, it has been over 20 years. Okay.

Christina Mankowski on behalf of City
Staff, discussion Item number 66205 La Vista Drive,
Swiss Avenue, Historic District CA234395CM, a
request. The request is a certificate of
appropriateness to place existing slate shingle roof
with DaVinci Province synthetic slate in the color
vineyard.

Staff recommendation that the request for a certificate of appropriateness to replace existing slate shingle roof with DaVinci Province synthetic slate in the color vineyard be denied without prejudice. The proposed work is inconsistent with the standards in City Code, Section 51A-4.501G6CI for contributing structures and the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation.

COMMISSIONER OFFUTT: Task Force

2.0

2.1

2.4

recommendation, that the request for a certificate of appropriateness to replace existing slate shingle roof with DaVinci Province synthetic slate in the color vineyard be denied without prejudice.

Materials are not typical of the style and period of the home. The existing slate should be replaced with a natural slate rather than synthetic slate.

CHAIR MONTGOMERY: All right. Thank you. We do have two registered speakers. I don't know who wants to go first. But everybody who's going to speak has to do the whole, tell me your name, tell me your address, promise to tell the truth thing. Okay?

MS. SAMSON: Good afternoon. My name is Monica Samson and I live in 624 Ambigade Drive in Shady Shores, Texas. And I promise to tell the truth. So, we have a -- at that location of the subject property, we have slate roof that is very old. It's very -- it's cracked and it's severely damaged.

So, we have tried to replace it, but the manufacturer in China no longer exists, they lost their license and they disappeared decades ago. And we don't have that same slate. We tried to repair it multiple times before, but at this point it's like beyond repair. And I have my expert roofer here and



1	I have examples of the DaVinci slate that we plan to
2	use.
3	MR. JOSEPH: You want my address at home
4	or my company address or.
5	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: You can do the company
6	one.
7	MR. JOSEPH: Okay. My name is Anthony
8	Joseph, AJ and 5552 Tenderfoot Trail, Fort Worth,
9	Texas.
10	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Are you going to tell
11	the truth?
12	MR. JOSEPH: I swear to tell the whole
13	truth.
14	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Thank you. All right.
15	MR. JOSEPH: Okay. So, as far as the
16	slate goes, it doesn't really matter to be honest
17	with you because slate is really going obsolete. And
18	the reason being is because of the Texas weather
19	conditions. Anything at 1.75 diameter hail will
20	shatter and crack slate.
21	As far as the DaVinci is synthetic, it can
22	withstand a lot more, it's durability, it's color
23	scheme is almost the same. As a matter of fact,
24	there's a DaVinci shingle on 6223 La Vista Drive as
25	well that I noticed. And it's a lot more safer in my



1 opinion. It's just my opinion because a slate flies 2 off a roof and hits somebody, it can really do some 3 damage and actually do some damage to people's cars. 4 This DaVinci's a lot less likely than 5 slate to hurt somebody if it comes off a roof. And 6 she's actually holds pretty good, a lot more better 7 than slate would on a roof as far as durability wise 8 as well. 9 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Is that all for now or 10 do you have --11 MR. JOSEPH: Yeah. Well, in the last four 12 years, Texas weather conditions have gotten worse, 13 the hail has gotten bigger and everything else. So, 14 just my recommendation, go to slate, the DaVinci. 15 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: All right. I was just 16 examining the fake slate. I had to tap on it, like I 17 would ever tap on a roof or stand --18 MR. JOSEPH: They actually --19 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: -- why I did that, but 2.0 21 MR. JOSEPH: -- they have it at -- you can 22 actually go check if you guys want to look yourselves 2.3 and see how it looks on the roof at 6223 La Vista 24 Drive. So, I --25 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: It's hard to imagine



7 how it looks at a distance, but we'll try. Okay. 2. So, let me ask commissioners what questions they may 3 have about this. Commissioner Cox? COMMISSIONER COX: Have you put to 4 identify any products -- I understand you have a 5 6 supply chain problem now that the original slate 7 manufacturers disappeared in China somewhere. Are there other manufacturers in the United States --8 9 MR. JOSEPH: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER COX: -- produce slates. 10 11 MR. JOSEPH: Yes, ma'am. 12 COMMISSIONER COX: Are they any good. 13 MR. JOSEPH: Like I said, Texas weather 14 conditions, I don't agree with putting slate on a 15 roof. That's just my opinion, but slate is slate. 16 COMMISSIONER COX: Okay. Thank you. 17 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Other questions? Mr. 18 Preziosi? Oh, sorry, go ahead, Commissioner Offutt. 19 COMMISSIONER OFFUTT: Excuse me. This is 2.0 for staff and based on the Swiss Avenue coordinates 21 itself, is it -- when is it allowed/appropriate to 22 change out materials on roofs (inaudible). I mean, I 23 know that that's happened significantly all 24 throughout the district. Thank you. 25 MS. MANKOWSKI: Under the roofs it states



1	it has to be appropriate and compatible, whatever the
2	roof is. However, you want to also maintain what was
3	originally original to the structure without changing
4	it if you can. There's I don't think Swiss I
5	think it's very open. It doesn't give specifics. I
6	believe it's section 9. Is it nine?
7	COMMISSIONER OFFUTT: Okay. If I'm
8	hearing you correctly, it could be appropriate to
9	change out slate or something else; is that correct.
10	MS. MANKOWSKI: If it would depend on
11	each individual structure and what the roof material
12	you're trying to change out, it has to still be
13	compatible to the style of the home. It you can't
14	just, you know, take
15	COMMISSIONER OFFUTT: Okay. Let me ask it
16	in a different way. Virginia McAllister home, which
17	
18	MS. MANKOWSKI: Yeah.
19	COMMISSIONER OFFUTT: I think everybody
20	know whose Virginia is and how precise she was about
21	historic preservation and blah, blah, blah. That
22	roof was over the years changed out to asphalt. So,
23	was that appropriate or not.
24	MS. MANKOWSKI: What was originally there?



COMMISSIONER OFFUTT: Slate.

1	MS. MANKOWSKI: If I were looking at it, I
2	would say no. I know that we have hail here, but I
3	did work on the vineyard where there are many slate
4	houses and they still install them now. And yes,
5	they can fall if they're if the wrong nails or
6	screws are used, you know, wrong metal, copper versus
7	steel. And if they rot out they can, but if they're
8	properly installed, a rock stone will last longer
9	than we will.
10	Essentially, and you know, I say if the
11	majority of the slate roof is still well intact, why
12	not weave in other pieces of natural slate because of
13	the variation in the color of this specific roof? I
14	think it would be very well blended. No one would
15	probably be able to tell where the patchwork is.
16	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay.
17	MR. JOSEPH: Are you done?
18	COMMISSIONER PREZIOSI: I can add I
19	think I can
20	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER PREZIOSI: make this work.
22	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Commissioner Preziosi
23	thinks he knows how to deal with where we are now.
24	COMMISSIONER PREZIOSI: Okay. So I guess
25	my question for the applicants would be, can you



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

source original replacement tiles to be weaved into
this -- the existing slate, you could source slate to
weave into the exist -- to repair the patches and
weave into the existing slate.

MR. JOSEPH: It's -- I'll be honest with
you, and I got storm seal, which is, I had to seal
the whole roof because it was bad. Like it's short.

You can't just patch here patch there. It's short. Like, it's not -- I wouldn't work on it like it is. I wouldn't even try to patch it. That's how much damage that is on this roof.

MS. MANKOWSKI: I don't mean patch it like you would still have to remove the entire -- you know, carefully remove all the slate, redo the underlayment, and then, you know (inaudible).

MR. JOSEPH: Yeah. You have to put a synthetic there --

MS. MANKOWSKI: Yeah.

MR. JOSEPH: You have to go down on --

MS. MANKOWSKI: So, you're going to remove the roof anyway when you're -- if you replace it with synthetic. So why not carefully remove each slate and then fill in whatever the percentage is that is damaged. That was my --

MR. JOSEPH: You're correct there, but



2.1

2.3

2.4

when it hails again next year, you're going to do the same thing. I'm just -- you know, it doesn't -- it's not impact resistant. It holds only up to 1.75 and you guys get over that on a basis three times, four times a year sometimes. You know, and it's not durable to hold -- withstand them hits.

You're going to have to replace it constantly, whether you go back up with slate or not, you know, and that's just what I'm -- but as far as that, I have storm seal on the whole roof to protect anything from flying off during any storms that happen now to protect anybody from getting hurt or injured or anything like that. But I still say synthetic is the best way to go.

MS. MANKOWSKI: Okay. And I know he asked in the ordinance, it is section N, the roof forms number 2, materials and colors. Roof materials and colors must compliment the style and overall color scheme of the building or structure. Tar and gravel buildup is only permitted as a roof material on covered porches and porte cocheres with flat roofs. So that is what's given. However, Secretary of Interior, you know, we want to replace in kind, like for like when we can, that was Staff's position as well as Task Force.



1 COMMISSIONER RENAUD: I have a comment. 2 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Well, it's right --3 it's still time for questions. So let's get all 4 questions out of the way. And Commissioner Reaves 5 has been waving at me for a while. COMMISSIONER REAVES: Well, one of the 6 7 advantages of being at home, you look stuff up 8 online, there's a product that's a real slate that --9 but if you put it up, it says it's hand-quarried and 10 it's waterproof and it's kind of hail proof, and you 11 can use it on low-pitched roofs, but it's actual 12 slate. 13 MR. JOSEPH: This is a 14-pitch though. 14 Like straight up --15 COMMISSIONER REAVES: It sounds like you 16 put it up just like you would asphalt shingles. 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I do have a 18 question. 19 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay, hang on. I think 20 I want to let Ms. Reaves know that I believe the 2.1 applicant was saying that the pitch of this roof is 22 extremely high and therefore it takes different slate 23 than that. And I can't contradict that because I 2.4 have no idea what I'm talking about.



COMMISSIONER REAVES: Well, this

2.4

particular product is -- it doesn't say that it's not for high-pitched roof. It says that it is also for low-pitched roofs.

CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Which is hard to keep water out of low-pitched roofs. Okay. And you should probably share that with Staff, because Staff is who can discuss alternate products with people, we don't usually do that. Commissioner Renaud you've been sitting over there patiently waiting for me. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER RENAUD: I have a couple of questions on the slate that's on the home already.

Did -- I thought I heard someone mention when this roof was placed 2012 and it's lasted 12 years. Also the dimensional size of it, it seems wider than normal to me. That's just from your observation, have you measured the slate --

MR. JOSEPH: If you -- yeah. If you actually put them up side by side (inaudible).

COMMISSIONER RENAUD: Okay. So, they're the same size. Okay, thank you.

MR. JOSEPH: Yeah. I actually got to say DaVinci did a real good job as far as diameter and everything else.

COMMISSIONER RENAUD: Thank you.



1	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Commissioner Hinojosa.
2	Oh, and then Commissioner Livingston will be after
3	Commissioner Hinojosa. Oh, you're muted.
4	COMMISSIONER HINOJOSA: Oh, sorry. I
5	wanted to ask if the we approved removal of this
6	in replacement with the DaVinci, would you all take
7	away all that slate? Would you all remove all that
8	slate down to the whatever.
9	MR. JOSEPH: Yes, ma'am. Because we have
10	to put belt down new belt down and every down
11	synthetic belt. And we have to check to see if
12	there's any rafters or anything any wood that's
13	rotten up there that we've got to replace as well.
14	COMMISSIONER HINOJOSA: Okay. Thank you.
15	MR. JOSEPH: Yes, ma'am.
16	COMMISSIONER LIVINGSTON: I have a
17	question.
18	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. Hang on
19	Commissioner Livingston, I think you were next in
20	line.
21	COMMISSIONER LIVINGSTON: I was just going
22	to ask is that's the entire swatch palette color.
23	MS. MANKOWSKI: It just this one of them,
24	is the vineyard. The other one is a light gray.
25	COMMISSIONER LIVINGSTON: Okay.



1	MS. MANKOWSKI: He just wanted to show you
2	
3	COMMISSIONER LIVINGSTON: So
4	MS. MANKOWSKI: it doesn't represent
5	the variation.
6	COMMISSIONER LIVINGSTON: Okay. Yeah. I
7	knew they did. No. I knew that they did that. I
8	was just (inaudible).
9	MS. MANKOWSKI: Yeah. Very
10	MR. JOSEPH: Yeah. It's it actually
11	mimics it pretty good. The roof that's up there now
12	this. Yes, sir.
13	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: All right. Who else
14	was online, Commissioner Ander
15	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: A question?
16	COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: Me.
17	MS. MANKOWSKI: Cummings and Anderson.
18	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. Because
19	Commissioner Anderson already spoke on this one, I
20	don't remember.
21	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: No.
22	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. And then
23	Commissioner Cummings, I see you waving at me, Mr.
24	Cummings. Okay.
25	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: My question is,



1 what is this imitation slate made of? Just what is 2 the material. 3 MR. JOSEPH: It's synthetic. 4 COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: What is it made 5 of. 6 MS. MANKOWSKI: Plastic. 7 MR. JOSEPH: Plastic vinyl. It's plastic 8 9 COMMISSIONER LIVINGSTON: Yeah. It's a vinyl plastic mixture. 10 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Okav. My next 12 question is, we know what slate looks like after two 13 or 300 years on a house or on a building. Do we know 14 -- is there studies (inaudible) to show what happens 15 in the hot Texas sun when this plastic product gets 16 fried? Is it going to get white? Is it going to get 17 (inaudible) 18 MR. JOSEPH: Well, so far to be honest 19 with you, that DaVinci that you have right here in 20 Dallas, that's on the historical -- one of the 21 historical houses over there is also -- it looks 22 pretty good. So, I haven't got on the roof. I don't 23 want to knock on them people's door and say, can I 24 see your product and see how it looks. But actually 25 they back it up to 50 years, they say, but it hasn't



1	been out that long. So, nobody really knows, to be
2	honest with you.
3	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: But nobody really
4	knows what's going to happen in the Texas sun with
5	this product.
6	MR. JOSEPH: Well, actually, they like
7	I said, they had this they have it at 6223 La
8	Vista Drive already this same product. So this same
9	product that's already over there is it looks
10	pretty good. So I don't know how long they had it,
11	if it's been there a year, if it's been there two
12	years, if it's been there three years. I don't know
13	when it was approved through you all to put it up on
14	that house, but it's there.
15	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Thank you.
16	MR. JOSEPH: Yes, sir.
17	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. Commissioner
18	Cummings?
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Just for your
20	information, you mentioned 6223 La Vista, it's on
21	your screen now.
22	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Is that in the Historic
23	District.
24	MS. MANKOWSKI: Yes.
25	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: It is, okay.



25

1 MS. MANKOWSKI: That was a --2 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: I haven't seen it I think I would remember the (inaudible). 3 before. 4 MR. JOSEPH: And it really does, it really is. When you put it down, it's -- I work with a lot 5 6 of stone code and steel too. When you put those 7 products down and it's on a solid surface, it's not 8 really going anywhere. As long as it's installed 9 right, it holds up to two -- it is showing two inch 10 hail, but that it's -- you know, and then the regular 11 slate's 1.75. So, I say that synthetic is actually 12 holding up better than regular slate to hail. 13 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: All right. 14 Commissioner Cummings, you have a question? 15 COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: Yeah. I have a 16 couple questions. And I'm very familiar -- I'm just 17 also very familiar with this product. I've actually 18 used this product on a one of the few remaining 19 Carnegie libraries in Texas. So, I'm very rehearsed 20 with the product. 21 The -- but my questions are, this is also 22 a stork materials and we don't like -- we like to 23 replace in kind as we've heard. The slate that's



there, there's been talk about the sizes. What's the

thickness of the slate that's there that's -- that

1	has been failing.
2	MR. JOSEPH: Yeah. I don't have it on me.
3	It's when you butt it up against side by side,
4	it's almost identical. It's probably about
5	COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: I know, slate
6	MR. JOSEPH: Go ahead.
7	COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: Slate thicknesses
8	I know comes in three sixteenths up to three eighths,
9	typically a quarter inch thick
10	MR. JOSEPH: I think
11	COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: they hold up
12	they've been holding up for a long I have a
13	90-year-old house with slate roof. Been going
14	through storms for a while, they hold up really well.
15	I've seen slate used on dormers and vertical
16	applications as well. Let's talk about the roof
17	pitches. It holds up well, so I'm wondering
18	MR. JOSEPH: It's three eight
19	COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: I'm trying to
20	figure out what the condition is a little bit more
21	there. If it's a thinner slate tile that's used
22	MR. JOSEPH: This is three eighth.
23	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Post replacement is
24	three eights, what's up there now?
25	MR. JOSEPH: It's the same size.



1	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: It's the same size as
2	that. Okay.
3	MR. JOSEPH: Yeah.
4	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: So, we're going with
5	three eights on old new. Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: Okay. So the
7	thickness is three eights, the existing that's up
8	there is three eights.
9	MR. JOSEPH: Yeah.
10	COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: Okay. All right.
11	Well, thank you for the questions and the answer.
12	Thank you.
13	MR. JOSEPH: Yes, sir. Thank you.
14	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: All right. Anybody
15	else have a question? If not
16	COMMISSIONER RENAUD: I will, another
17	question.
18	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER RENAUD: The ridge pieces,
20	how are those in the synthetic, is that one piece
21	that actually bridges or is it actually two pieces
22	like a real slate.
23	MR. JOSEPH: It's just like it is up there
24	right now. They have a real, I guess they have a cap
25	one. Yeah. Same as this. It'd be the same as



1	(inaudible).
2	COMMISSIONER RENAUD: Understood. But
3	MR. JOSEPH: Are you talking about the
4	original?
5	COMMISSIONER RENAUD: the ridge
6	okay. But it's one piece that overlaps rather than
7	two.
8	MR. JOSEPH: Yeah.
9	COMMISSIONER RENAUD: Okay. Thank you.
10	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: All right. If that's
11	all of our questions, then it's time for someone to
12	make a motion. Oh, good, Commissioner Reaves are you
13	going to make a motion?
14	COMMISSIONER REAVES: Yes, sir. I move
15	that we accept Staff recommendation. Do I need to
16	read oh, I need to do all that other stuff.
17	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: You have to do all that
18	reading about the address and the ACA number and all
19	that.
20	COMMISSIONER REAVES: Yeah. Okay. I
21	moved for 6205 La Vista Drive, Swiss Avenue
22	Historical District CA234-395CM (inaudible) I move
23	that we accept Staff Task Force recommendation.
24	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. We cannot move
25	to accept a Task Force recommendation.



1	COMMISSIONER REAVES: Okay. Staff
2	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Only a Staff one.
3	COMMISSIONER REAVES: Staff
4	recommendation.
5	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. So, you're
6	moving to follow Staff recommendations for their
7	reasons that they gave?
8	COMMISSIONER REAVES: Yes.
9	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: That the proposed work
10	is inconsistent with the standards?
11	COMMISSIONER REAVES: Would you like me to
12	read this to you? That the request for the
13	certificate of **appropriate is to replace existing
14	slight shingle roof with DaVinci product synthetic
15	slate in the color vine vineyard be denied without
16	prejudice. This proposed work is inconsistent with
17	the standards of the City code section 50
18	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: You don't have to read
19	the section.
20	COMMISSIONER REAVES: for continuity
21	structures and the Secretary of the Interior
22	Standards for Rehabilitation.
23	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. Thank you. That
24	was very well spoken. I just don't know how you came
25	up with that so quickly. Okay. You'll have a second



1	on this.
2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I'll second it.
3	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Who was the second.
4	MS. MANKOWSKI: I think Anderson first.
5	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Fogleman is our second
6	on this. All right. Is there any comment?
7	Commissioner Livingston?
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I'd like to make a
9	comment if I may.
10	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. Well,
11	Commissioner Livingston already had spoken, so let's
12	go.
13	COMMISSIONER LIVINGSTON: Yeah. I just
14	that's why I asked the question about the someone
15	asked it before me about the ordinance and the
16	regulation requirement in the neighborhood, you know,
17	relative to historic as far as that neighborhood and
18	what's consistent. Because in my mind, the material,
19	the product is trying to be designed to emulate that
20	historic product with a consideration of, you know,
21	weather tolerance.
22	So, it's just you know, I'm a little
23	bit torn on that product in comparison with a comp
24	roof or some of the other photos in the neighborhood,
25	you know, based on what the whole design of that



1	product is for, which is to mimic original slate, but
2	with more durability.
3	So, I mean, you know, my I'm torn
4	because my common sense kind of response to that
5	effort is like, okay, so that's why I won't be
6	supporting the motion.
7	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: All right. Thank you.
8	Commissioner Anderson?
9	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I just want to
10	make note
11	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Then Commissioner
12	Offutt after Commissioner Anderson.
13	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: But there was a
14	once in a lifetime, hopefully a hailstorm about 15
15	years ago. And many of the slate and tile most of
16	them on **twist were damaged and literally all of
17	them came back. They went through, got the tile
18	manufacturers came to the Lakewood Library and they
19	all got together and matched the color tiles and
20	slate they had and literally all of the houses got
21	new slate roofs from their insurance companies. So,
22	there is a bit of a precedent if you will, that
23	houses in Swiss Avenue keep their original tiles and
24	slate if at all possible.



Furthermore, it appears that most of the

2.1

slate is still up there. A slate and tile protected roof, there's a file -- something goes down, a felt. So, it makes more sense to take the slate off and repair the slate -- the roof, repair the felt and put the tile back on and repair -- replace the -- that what is missing.

So yeah, I would be concerned if there was no slate left and we were starting from scratch but the back door of the slate is still there. We'd be throwing away the original material for no good reason. Thank you.

CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Commissioner Offutt.

COMMISSIONER OFFUTT: Thank you. I asked specifically about the materials because of the incredible mix we have within our district, tiles coming off and composition going up and not being able to tell the difference from a distance. So, I'm -- I will not be supporting this motion because our ordinance specifically allows for complimentary materials to mesh with what was there before. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: I have a question or a comment for the -- actually for clarification, please.

CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay.



2.2

COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: Clarification of Staff, going back to this materials questioning. Is the -- am I to understand the ordinance will allow a synthetic product to replace in this situation here.

MS. MANKOWSKI: It doesn't specifically say it. I'll read it verbatim.

COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: Yeah

MS. MANKOWSKI: It's section N roof forms roman II, materials and colors. Roof materials and colors must compliment the style and overall color scheme of the building or structure. Tar and gravel buildup is only permitted as a roof material on covered porches and porte cocheres with flat roofs. That's all it says.

COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: Okay. Thank you.

I will be supporting the denial on the roof. I don't think we are in a situation to start approving synthetic materials across the board such as this.

And on something like this, it doesn't seem to be that there is a warrant, a need for this because of lessening the weight. I think slate tiles have a history of lasting for a long time. There may need to be some patching from time to time, but I won't be supporting to replace the roof so.

CHAIR MONTGOMERY: All right. Thank you.



COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: In this nature (inaudible).

CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Mr. Cummings? I don't know if there's any -- anybody else. I -- I'll say that normally I do not like artificial materials replacing original material. We all understand that vinyl windows do not look right on the thing that's why we dislike them. They aren't inappropriate.

Most of the cementitious boards that people want to replace with siding are not approvable right now because they're not in the right shapes. They don't look like the original siding. I'm suspecting that this artificial slate roof, if the colors are right and that it probably at a distance you can't tell the difference. I'm suspecting that, I don't know for sure because I haven't witnessed it.

And therefore in a quandary as to what we ought to do about this one, it does appear that if this roof completely fails, they would be able to ask us for a plain old ugly composition roof. I have one of those too. I'm calling it ugly. I have -- most of us have one and that would be less good than the plastic slate is even if real slate is better and not everything's not a yes or no. Some things are a sliding scale.

So, I'm not sure, I wouldn't be inclined to allow them to go ahead with the artificial slate. Perhaps not until though they have re-examined -- not to oppose you, sir. They had a second opinion about whether or not they could do that whole take the slate off and check it again.

Just to verify that this existing beautiful slate couldn't be reused. But ultimately, if it can't, I think I would think that the plastic might be a good solution. That's just my opinion. Commissioner Cox, you have an opinion?

COMMISSIONER COX: I do. Commissioner

Offutt, you mentioned that Virginia wouldn't have had something wrong with her roof. Well, Virginia wouldn't have but Dorothy might have. And I can remember sitting in the garden room and talking to Virginia about this.

And she was putting in CA for replacing impediment on the front of the house because her mother had taken off the original, some 20 years earlier because she wanted the house to look more modern. And the savage house was not going to ever look more modern, you know, so. But you know, it's just, you go back to that. But I don't think that comes from modern historical district things. I



1	think that's just how her family went on things.
2	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: All right. Thank you.
3	And yes they I heard about her mother from
4	Virginia too sometimes. So interesting relationship
5	I'm sure; aren't they all? Any other comments before
6	we vote on this? All right. Then it's time to vote.
7	All those in favor of this motion please say aye.
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye.
9	COMMISSIONER HINOJOSA: Aye.
10	COMMISSIONER REAVES: Aye.
11	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: I am going to say aye.
12	And are there any opposed.
13	COMMISSIONER OFFUTT: Opposed.
14	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. We're going to
15	do a roll call vote. We do that one when I can't
16	count. Okay. We're (inaudible) Okay. Marcus, you
17	do it.
18	MR. WATSON: District 1, Sherman?
19	COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Aye.
20	MR. WATSON: District 2, Montgomery.
21	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: I said aye.
22	MR. WATSON: District 3, Fogleman?
23	COMMISSIONER FOGLEMAN: Aye.
24	MR. WATSON: District 4, Taylor?
25	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.



1	MR. WATSON: District 5, Offutt.
2	COMMISSIONER OFFUTT: No.
3	MR. WATSON: District 6, Hinojosa.
4	COMMISSIONER HINOJOSA: Aye.
5	MR. WATSON: District 7, Livingston.
6	COMMISSIONER LIVINGSTON: Nay.
7	MR. WATSON: District 9, Renaud.
8	COMMISSIONER RENAUD: Nay.
9	MR. WATSON: District 10, Cox.
10	COMMISSIONER COX: (Inaudible).
11	MR. WATSON: District 11, Gay?
12	COMMISSIONER GAY: Nay.
13	MR. WATSON: District 13, Preziosi.
14	COMMISSIONER PREZIOSI: Nay.
15	MR. WATSON: District 14, Guest?
16	COMMISSIONER GUEST: Aye.
17	MR. WATSON: Alternate Anderson.
18	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye.
19	MR. WATSON: And Alternate Reaves.
20	COMMISSIONER REAVES: Aye.
21	MR. WATSON: And Alternate Cummings.
22	COMMISSIONER CUMMINGS: Aye.
23	MR. WATSON: I have nine yeses, six nos.
24	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. So this motion
25	carried if not by a lot. Again, because this was



1	denial, it is possible for a fee to appeal to CPC,
2	the City Plan Commission. And they would solely
3	determine whether they feel we ruled in error that is
4	violated expectations of the ordinance.
5	So, one could do that. You could also try
6	coming back with perhaps more evidence of the utter
7	failure of the existing slate. Something that might
8	sway those last few votes you needed to a different
9	resolution. But as it stands now, you have received
10	a denial on this request and I encourage you to work
11	with Staff to move forward.
12	MR. JOSEPH: I want to clarify real quick.
13	MR. WATSON: Mr. (Inaudible) what's your
14	vote on that?
15	SPEAKER 3: Aye.
16	MR. WATSON: Okay.
L7	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. So that doesn't
L8	change anything. So, I'm sorry that things did not
19	go quite your way. I reiterate, I still am not sure
20	which way to go with this and that the
21	MR. JOSEPH: Excuse me ma'am, a question
22	please.
23	CHAIR MONTGOMERY: this might be a
24	wonderful new solution, but I don't know.



MR. JOSEPH: How did the Heller house get

1 approved right down the street? Like just asking. 2 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Good question. And it 3 may have gotten approval. I'm not going -- I'm not 4 insinuating anything, but they may have done it 5 without approval. But each case is unique and stands 6 on its own. So, even if we let everybody else in the whole district get it, we don't have to get -- let 8 you get it. So that's the way it is. MR. JOSEPH: No. Well, I understand what 9 10 you're saying. I -- and I'll just go back and look 11 at it again, take pictures. But you cannot take that 12 slate off, put it back up and think it's going to be 13 all hunky doors. It's not -- it's brittle, it's old. 14 You're going to have to replace the whole roof 15 regardless. 16 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. 17 MR. JOSEPH: Point taken. You take that 18 slate off, you're not putting it back up. 19 MR. WATSON: One option you have because 20 this was denial without prejudice. If you can come 2.1 back and have more evidence that really convince them 22 that it cannot be redone --23 MR. JOSEPH: Yes, sir. 24 MR. WATSON: -- then you can try again. 25



CHAIR MONTGOMERY: And what might help is

-- it's an additional expense. If you consulted 1 2. someone who regularly works with historic houses, I 3 don't know how much you do that. But a second 4 opinion of someone who's first thought when they see 5 historic houses is, gosh, I want to save every part 6 of it. And if they agree then we'd be really 7 impressed, I suppose. Okay. Because we assume most 8 people think it'd be easier to start over. 9 MS. MANKOWSKI: If I may add. I -- we've 10 had about five roofers look at it and it's -- there 11 is a consensus that the roof is no longer functioning 12 and that this product performs better, has less 13 weight, lasts longer and helps with the temperature 14 as well. So, we've had five roofers. At this point 15 16 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Okay. 17 MS. MANKOWSKI: -- the -- it has a wrap. 18 That roof has been wrapped, it wrapped indefinitely 19 and it was not an inexpensive process to have that. 20 So, what you're asking is for us to unwrap it and 2.1 re-evaluate? 22 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: I'm not asking you to 23 do anything. I have --2.4 MS. MANKOWSKI: You suggesting. 25 CHAIR MONTGOMERY: -- I'm suggesting --



## MS. MANKOWSKI: Yeah.

CHAIR MONTGOMERY: -- what I pretty much said was, I know that there's a natural tendency for people who fix things to think, well, if I got rid of all the other stuff, I could fix it from new and that'd be a lot easier, that's what I want to do too. So, ask someone -- if none of those five that agreed were people who often work with historic houses and usually say, let's try to save it, find somebody like that and let them look at it would be a good idea.

It's what I would do if you were my sister and I wanted to suggest, but I can't suggest it to you as a member of this commission. And then you can come back to us. We would like to help you find a solution. And I -- I'm one of the ones on the fence, so I'm speaking as a person who didn't know which way to go.

MS. MANKOWSKI: Okay. Thank you very much.

CHAIR MONTGOMERY: Bye.



## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTION

I, Joseph Juvenson, do hereby certify that the provided audio recording media was transcribed by me or reduced to typewriting under my supervision, that said transcript is a true transcription of the audio recording; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action involved in these proceedings; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Joseph Jewenson

JOSEPH JUVENSON, Official Transcriptionist

2.5

MAGNA D

LEGAL SERVICES