



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 22-1340 **Version:** 1 **Name:**

Type: CONSENT AGENDA **Status:** Approved

File created: 5/27/2022 **In control:** Department of Planning and Urban Design

On agenda: 6/22/2022 **Final action:**

Title: An ordinance authorizing a correction to (1) Ordinance No. 31958 (Planned Development Subdistrict No. 165) within Planned Development District No. 193, the Oak Lawn Special Purpose District; (2) Ordinance No. 32126 (Planned Development District No. 508); and (3) Ordinances No. 32085 (Planned Development Subdistrict No. 164) within Planned Development District No. 193, the Oak Lawn Special Purpose District - Financing: No cost consideration to the City

Sponsors:

Indexes: 14, 6

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Maps

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

STRATEGIC PRIORITY: Economic Development

AGENDA DATE: June 22, 2022

COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): 6, 14

DEPARTMENT: Department of Planning and Urban Design

EXECUTIVE: Majed Al-Ghafry

SUBJECT

An ordinance authorizing a correction to **(1)** Ordinance No. 31958 (Planned Development Subdistrict No. 165) within Planned Development District No. 193, the Oak Lawn Special Purpose District; **(2)** Ordinance No. 32126 (Planned Development District No. 508); and **(3)** Ordinances No. 32085 (Planned Development Subdistrict No. 164) within Planned Development District No. 193, the Oak Lawn Special Purpose District - Financing: No cost consideration to the City

BACKGROUND

On August 11, 2021, the City Council approved Ordinance No. 31958 for an amendment to Planned Development District No. 193; on property located on the west line of Vine Street, between Cole Street and Laclede Street, to create Planned Development Subdistrict No. 165 for GR General Retail District uses on property zoned GR General Retail within Planned Development District No. 193, the Oak Lawn Special Purpose District.

Subsequent, to the passage of the ordinance, it was discovered that the condition regarding the

parking requirements use inadvertently missed the include the approved parking ratio for an office use. The correction ordinance includes the correct language for the parking section:

SEC. S-165.109. OFF-STREET PARKING AND LOADING.

(a) In general. Except as provided in this section, consult Part I of this article for specific off-street parking and loading requirements for each use.

(b) Office use. For office uses that contain underground parking, one space per 1,000 square feet of floor area is required.

On January 26, 2022, the City Council approved Ordinance No. 32126 for an amendment to Tract 13 (A) for Multi-Family MF-2(A) Tract within Planned Development District No. 508, located on the north line of Singleton Boulevard, east of North Westmoreland Road to allow for additional uses, increase in height, increase in maximum number of dwelling units, and modifications to side yard requirements, and modified parking requirements.

Subsequent to the passage of the ordinance it was determined that the Sec. 51P-508.107.(b)(3)(C) inadvertently omitted to include the following language: *“For multifamily uses. Required off-street parking: One space per bedroom with a maximum of two spaces per dwelling unit.”* to reflect the intent of the amendment, as included in the CPC recommended conditions.

On December 8, 2021, the City Council approved Ordinance No. 32085 for a Planned Development Subdistrict for P Parking Subdistrict uses and an automobile or motorcycle display, sales, and service (outside display) use on property zoned a Parking [P] Subdistrict within Planned Development District No. 193, the Oak Lawn Special Purpose District, located on the south line of Dorothy Avenue, west of Bowser Avenue, to allow modified development standards primarily related to permitted uses, setbacks, height, lot coverage, and landscaping to allow an automobile or motorcycle display, sales, and service (outside display) use, except that service of automobiles and display, sales, and service of motorcycles are proposed to be prohibited.

Subsequent to the passage of the ordinance it was determined that the Ordinance incorrectly indicated the Planned Development Subdistrict No. 164. The correct number is Planned Development Subdistrict No. 166. The proposed correction ordinance will reflect the correct PDS number.

PRIOR ACTION/REVIEW (COUNCIL, BOARDS, COMMISSIONS)

This item has no prior action.

FISCAL INFORMATION

No cost consideration to the City.

MAPS

Attached